Lipid Effects of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor-δ Agonist GW501516 in Subjects With Low High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Characteristics of Metabolic Syndrome
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Objective—Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-δ–induced upregulation in skeletal muscle fatty acid oxidation would predict the modulation of lipid/lipoproteins.

Methods and Results—GW501516 (2.5, 5.0, or 10.0 mg) or placebo was given for 12 weeks to patients (n=268) with high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol <1.16 mmol/L. Fasting lipids/apolipoproteins (apos), insulin, glucose, and free fatty acid were measured; changes from baseline were calculated and assessed. A second smaller exploratory study (n=37) in a similar population was conducted using a sequence of 5 and 10 mg dosing for the assessment of lipoprotein particle concentration. GW501516 demonstrated HDL cholesterol increases up to 16.9% (10 mg) and apoA-I increases up to 6.6%. Reductions were observed in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (−7.3%), triglycerides (−16.9%), apoB (−14.9%), and free fatty acids (−19.4%). The exploratory study showed significant reductions in the concentration of very LDL (−19%), intermediate-density lipoprotein (−52%), and LDL (−14%, predominantly a reduction in small particles), whereas the number of HDL particles increased (+10%; predominantly medium and large HDL).

Conclusion—GW501516 produced significant changes in HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, apoA1, and apoB. Fewer very LDL and larger LDL support a transition toward less atherogenic lipoprotein profiles. These data are consistent with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-δ being a potentially important target for providing cardiovascular protection in metabolic syndrome-like patients. (Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2012;32:00-00.)
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The effects of selective peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)–δ agonist GW501516 (EC50 of 1.2 nm±0.1 mmol/L and >1200-fold selective for the PPARδ receptor relative to α and γ) have demonstrated increases in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), decreases in low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and decreased triglyceride (TG) in preclinical studies1–4 and in an initial pilot clinical study.5,6 However, to date, a more robust examination of the lipid-altering effects of a PPARδ agent has not been examined in a human trial.

PPARs are members of the superfamily of nuclear ligand-inducible transcription factors which form heterodimers with retinoid X receptors and bind to consensus DNA sequences. There are 3 known PPAR isotypes: α (NR1C1), γ (NR1C3), and δ (also named β or NR1C2).1,8 Current knowledge of these receptors indicates that they are involved in lipid and glucose homeostasis. Two of these receptors, PPARα and PPARγ, are targeted by commonly prescribed medications for the treatment of dyslipidemia (fibrates) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (glitazones), respectively. PPARα appears to mediate its effects via mechanisms within hepatic tissue, whereas PPARγ resides predominantly in adipose tissue. PPARδ is ubiquitous and has been shown to impart its effects in skeletal muscle although recent data suggest influences in the liver as well.9

It might be expected that an agent that enhances the catabolism of fat would reverse some of the lipoprotein effects in low HDL-C or metabolic syndrome-like subjects, in whom fat stores are particularly enriched. To explore this hypothesis, the first multidose, large-scale study to examine the effects of GW501516 on lipids in subjects with low HDL-C, a prominent feature of metabolic syndrome, was undertaken. A second small-scale exploratory study that was run in parallel provided a complimentary data set that further examined the impact of PPARδ treatment on lipoprotein particle characteristics.
Materials and Methods

Primary Lipid Study

Main Criteria for Inclusion
Male or female subjects aged 18 to 75 years with fasting plasma HDL-C concentration ≤45 mg/dL, (≤1.16 mmol/L), plasma LDL-C levels that did not require treatment according to the National Cholesterol Education Program/Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines, and fasting plasma TG concentration ≤500 mg/dL (≤5.65 mmol/L) were eligible for the study. Individuals with diabetes mellitus, renal impairment, thyroid dysfunction, or concomitant use of lipid lowering agents were excluded.

Design
This was a multicenter, randomized double-blind, parallel, placebo-controlled study with a 2-staged design. In stage 1, patients were randomly allocated to 12 weeks of treatment with 2.5 mg, 5.0 mg, or placebo. An Independent Data Monitoring Committee was prospectively appointed to ensure participant safety during the study and additionally to review the stage 1 data to advise whether the study could continue to stage 2 with an expanded dose range. In accordance with the Independent Data Monitoring Committee recommendations, stage 2 of the study was conducted with patients randomly allocated to 12 weeks of treatment with 5.0 mg, 10.0 mg, or placebo. The lipid changes in the treatment arms common to stages 1 and 2 (5 mg and placebo) were assessed for sufficient concordance to permit pooling of data from the 2 stages. In addition to the treatment period, each stage of the study included a 2-week placebo run-in period and a 2-week (stage 1) or 4-week (stage 2) follow-up period (GlaxoSmithKline Protocol: PAD20001; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00158899, URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00158899?term= gw501516&rank=1).

Written informed consent was obtained before each subject could participate in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with good clinical practice and all applicable regulatory requirements, including the 1996 version of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Institutional Ethics Committee. Blood samples were taken at each clinical visit for routine hematology and clinical chemistry testing by a central laboratory (Quest Diagnostics, Inc). Nonesterified free fatty acids were measured, after having been drawn into EDTA tubes, centrifuged, and plasma frozen at −80°C. Standard lipids/lipoproteins and fatty acids were measured, after having been drawn into EDTA tubes, centrifuged, and plasma frozen at −80°C. Standard lipids/lipoproteins were measured and analyzed after an overnight fast before, during, and after the 12-week dosing period (Quest Diagnostics, Inc).

Exploratory Study
The exploratory investigation was designed as a randomized, parallel group, double-blind controlled study in adult males (n=16 on GW501516, n=21 placebo) (age, 18–55 years) with 2 arms, in which subjects were initiated on the 5-mg dose level for 6 weeks, reviewed for safety, and escalated to 10 mg for an additional 6 weeks (12 weeks of total treatment duration). Entry criteria included LDL-C≥100 mg/dL, serum TG≥200 mg/dL, and body mass index ≥27 kg/m² but ≤43 kg/m².

Blood plasma samples for lipoprotein analysis via nuclear magnetic resonance were taken at baseline, 6 weeks, and end of treatment. Lipoprotein subclass profiles were measured by a commercially available proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic assay (LipoScience) as described previously.19 The results included particle concentrations of 6 very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) subclasses, intermediate-density lipoprotein, 3 low-density lipoprotein (LDL) subclasses, and 3 high-density lipoprotein (HDL) subclasses. VLDL subclasses were regrouped as large (V6, V5), medium (V4, V3), and small (V2, V1) subclasses (GlaxoSmithKline Protocol: PAD100058; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00388180, URL: http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00388180?term=pad100058&rank=1).

Statistics
Baseline characteristics were compared among groups using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for continuous variables because not all measures were normally distributed. Chi-square tests were used for categorical variables. ANOVA techniques were used to evaluate treatment differences in responses. For the primary analyses, responses were calculated as percent change from baseline at 12 weeks. These models were adjusted for baseline value of the response variable, age, sex, and baseline waist circumference (note: height was not collected so body mass index was unavailable). The Dunnett tests were used to control type I error rate because each treatment was compared with control. Dose effects across treatment groups (ie, not including placebo) were also evaluated within the context of these ANOVA models. For the exploratory particle study, descriptions of serum lipid baseline values and changes are presented as mean±SE. Changes in the number of particles are presented as median, 25th percentile, and 75th percentile because the distributions did not meet the assumption of normality. Changes (compared with placebo over 12 weeks) were evaluated within the context of ANOVA models adjusting for baseline value, age, sex, and weight. All analyses were conducted using SAS (v9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Subjects in the core study were randomly assigned to placebo (n=78), 2.5 mg GW501516 (n=48), 5 mg GW501516 (n=83), or 10 mg GW501516 (n=59). The mean age of participants was 46 years, and 75% (n=37) were women. There were no observed contrasts in trend between sex (data not shown). Mean LDL-C was 3.61±0.05 mmol/L, mean HDL-C was 0.95±0.03 mmol/L, and mean TGs were 2.28±0.07 mmol/L. Just over half (n=139, 51.9%) of study subjects had metabolic syndrome per Adult Treatment Panel III/National Cholesterol Education Program definition. Baseline parameters were similar among treatment groups with the exception of TGs, apolipoprotein (apo) AI, glucose, and insulin (Table 1). All subjects from the exploratory study (n=37; 16 subjects 5/10 mg GW501516, 21 placebo) were male and averaged 43.6±1.1 years of age. The mean weight was 103.9±2.0 kg and the mean waist circumference was 109.2±4.5. There were no statistically significant differences between treatment groups for any of these measures. A subtle trend toward a higher mean weight in the exploratory study cohort, yet a modestly lower TG and modestly higher HDL-C (see Table 3 for baseline lipids) were not significantly different between populations.

LDL-C decreased significantly in the intermediate (−4.0%±1.8%, P=0.029) and high (−7.3%±2.4%, P<0.001) dose groups compared with placebo (Figure 1). As noted (Table 2), total cholesterol followed a similar pattern. Dose response was significant for both LDL-C (P=0.035) and total cholesterol (P=0.012).

In a parallel fashion, TGs showed significant decreases (versus placebo) for the intermediate (−9.6%±4.0%, P=0.003) and high (−16.9%±4.2%, P<0.001) dose GW501516 groups, whereas the low dose did not change significantly compared with placebo. A significant dose response was established (P<0.001, Table 2). Consistent with the LDL-C, TG, and total cholesterol, a significant apoB dose response was evident (P=0.004), achieving a 14.9%±2.0% reduction at the highest dose.

HDL-C levels increased to a similar extent (ranging from 11.1%±2.1% for 5.0 mg to 16.9%±2.6% for 10 mg, P<0.001 for each dose) and without any apparent dose response (P=0.17). No clear plateau was achieved within the timeframe of the study (Figure 2).

ApoAI effects exhibited a pattern consistent with the effects observed for HDL-C. Significant changes compared with placebo were seen in the high-dose group (+6.6%±1.9%, \(P<0.001\)), whereas a more modest increase (+4.3%±1.5%, \(P=0.043\)) was seen in the intermediate dose group. Modest evidence of a dose response was seen at study end (\(P=0.052\)).

Baseline apoAI levels were lower in the 2.5-mg dose group and did not differ significantly from placebo despite achieving a similar magnitude change (6.2%±1.9% \(P=0.674\) after adjustment for baseline) as seen in the high-dose group (6.6%±1.9%, \(P<0.001\)). ApoAII revealed a significant increase for all doses and substantial dose response (ranging from 8.9%±2.4% for 2.5 mg to 10.3%±2.3% for 10 mg, \(P<0.001\) for all).

Serum free fatty acids revealed a marked significant (\(P<0.001\)) decline of −22.2%±4.7%, −19.5%±4.2%, and −19.4%±4.9% in the low, intermediate, and high doses, compared with a rise of 13.7%±6.1% in the placebo (Figure 3).

Glucose levels remained stable in all 3 dose groups; however, an inverse dose relationship was observed for insulin levels with both the low- and intermediate-dose groups demonstrating significant reductions (−5.8%±5.6%, \(P=0.03\) and −5.9%±3.9%, \(P=0.02\)). No change was observed in the high-dose group compared with placebo (31.2%±17.4% and 30.2%±11.2%, respectively, \(P=0.69\)).

There was a statistically significant increase in body weight observed in the high-dose group (+1.3%±0.4%) compared with placebo (−0.4%±0.2%, \(P=0.006\)) that was manifest at week 12. This gain represented ≈1 kg. Neither the low (0.2%±0.5%, \(P=0.590\)) or intermediate (0.3%±0.4%, \(P=0.400\)) showed significant weight change. A complete overview of 12-week changes (%CFB) for serum lipids and lipoproteins can be found in Table 2.

As shown in Table 3, serum lipid changes from the exploratory study were generally consistent with the results from the primary study. HDL-C showed a significant increase (\(P=0.006\)), whereas LDL-C and TG decreased but not significantly (\(P=0.056\) and \(P=0.191\), respectively). Table 3 shows that the number of HDL particles increased significantly (\(P<0.001\)) driven by increases in the number of large and medium particles. The concentration of LDL particles decreased (\(P<0.001\)), as a result of reductions in the number of medium, small, and very small LDL particles, whereas an increase in the number of large LDL particles was observed. The number of intermediate-density lipoprotein particles decreased (\(P<0.001\)). Total VLDL particle concentration decreased (\(P=0.048\)) predominantly through a significant reduction in the medium size particles.

**Discussion**

This is the first large, well-controlled multiple-dose study examining the lipid effects of a highly selective PPARδ agonist GW501516 in low-HDL-C subjects with similar characteristics to those of metabolic syndrome. The present data demonstrate that GW501516 produced significant increases in plasma HDL-C and apoAI while causing significant dose-related reductions in LDL-C, apolB, and TGs in comparison with placebo. These changes were found in the context of marked free fatty acid reductions that were observed at all...
The global changes of the lipoprotein profile observed herein are generally consistent with those in rhesus monkeys1 as well as those observed in our previous smaller clinical trials6,7 using the same PPARδ agonist agent. They have all noted a diminished apoB particle presence on drug administration and have typically observed HDL-C increases. The robust apoB changes likely represent a reduction in intermediate-density lipoprotein and LDL for the lowest dose, and VLDL (relevant to TG levels) and LDL reductions for the higher dosing groups. The substantial decrease in small LDL in the exploratory study (ie, a drug-induced modification toward larger LDL particles) is metabolically consistent with the observed decrease in VLDL particle concentrations in the larger subclasses; less TG transfer facilitating an LDL substrate more amenable to hepatic lipase induced dense LDL.11 This redistribution of LDL particles to larger subclasses is often viewed as cardioprotective,12 although not consistently.13 Similarly, both HDL-C and its major protein moiety apoAI increased in a parallel fashion, yet revealed improvements which were similar at all dose levels. Greater concentrations of medium and large HDL subclasses, despite an unchanged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (0 mg)</th>
<th>GW501516 (2.5 mg)</th>
<th>GW501516 (5 mg)</th>
<th>GW501516 (10 mg)</th>
<th>P Value for Dose Effect Across Active Treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>3.5±1.8</td>
<td>13.2±3.3</td>
<td>11.1±2.1</td>
<td>16.9±2.6</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoAI</td>
<td>1.5±1.4</td>
<td>6.2±1.9</td>
<td>4.3±1.5</td>
<td>6.6±1.9</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoAII</td>
<td>−0.9±1.2</td>
<td>8.9±2.4</td>
<td>13.9±1.6</td>
<td>20.3±2.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>3.2±1.9</td>
<td>−2.4±2.4</td>
<td>−4.0±1.8</td>
<td>−7.3±2.4</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoB</td>
<td>−2.1±1.6</td>
<td>−8.8±1.8</td>
<td>−12.0±1.4</td>
<td>−14.9±2.0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>8.2±3.9</td>
<td>0.6±4.9</td>
<td>−9.6±4.0</td>
<td>−16.9±4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>0.4±1.4</td>
<td>−4.3±1.9</td>
<td>−6.9±1.3</td>
<td>−8.8±1.7</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA</td>
<td>13.7±6.1</td>
<td>−22.2±4.7</td>
<td>−19.5±4.2</td>
<td>−19.4±4.9</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>−1.2±0.9%</td>
<td>−3.2±1.1%</td>
<td>−2.8±1.1%</td>
<td>1.4±2.1%</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>30.2±11.2%</td>
<td>−5.8±5.6%</td>
<td>−5.9±3.9%</td>
<td>31.2±17.4</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>−0.4±0.2</td>
<td>0.2±0.5</td>
<td>0.3±0.4</td>
<td>1.3±0.4</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TC indicates total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; FFA, free fatty acid. P values were adjusted for age, sex, waist circumference and baseline lipid values. P value for each treatment dose compared with placebo.

doses. Complimentary lipoprotein particle data from a smaller cohort of subjects with similar demographics and characteristics demonstrated fewer VLDL and LDL particles, redistributing to smaller and larger in size, respectively, in addition to fewer HDL, but larger HDL subclasses.

These global changes of the lipoprotein profile observed herein are generally consistent with those in rhesus monkeys1 as well as those observed in our previous smaller clinical trials6,7 using the same PPARδ agonist agent. They have all noted a diminished apoB particle presence on drug administration and have typically observed HDL-C increases. The robust apoB changes likely represent a reduction in intermediate-density lipoprotein and LDL for the lowest dose, and VLDL (relevant to TG levels) and LDL reductions for the higher dosing groups. The substantial decrease in small LDL in the exploratory study (ie, a drug-induced modification toward larger LDL particles) is metabolically consistent with the observed decrease in VLDL particle concentrations in the larger subclasses; less TG transfer facilitating an LDL substrate more amenable to hepatic lipase induced dense LDL.11 This redistribution of LDL particles to larger subclasses is often viewed as cardioprotective,12 although not consistently.13 Similarly, both HDL-C and its major protein moiety apoAI increased in a parallel fashion, yet revealed improvements which were similar at all dose levels. Greater concentrations of medium and large HDL subclasses, despite an unchanged
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**Figure 2.** High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) response over time by treatment group.
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**Figure 3.** Free fatty acid (FFA) response over time by treatment group.
Table 3. Exploratory Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum Lipids</th>
<th>12-wk Change, %</th>
<th>Concentration of Particles</th>
<th>12-wk Change, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GW501516</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>GW501516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>1.11±0.04</td>
<td>1.12±0.07</td>
<td>8.7%±3.1%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.6%# (2.9, 19.4)</td>
<td>-3.7% (12.4, 3.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>31.4* (16.1, 100.7)</td>
<td>2.7 (19.2, 20.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>75.0* (40.0, 700.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (28.2, 108.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>-3.0 (10.0, 5.0)</td>
<td>-8.8 (15.4, -0.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>3.70±0.19</td>
<td>3.52±0.23</td>
<td>-11.3±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-13.9# (21.4, -5.0)</td>
<td>6.4 (-9.2, 11.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>70.2# (18.2, 171.4)</td>
<td>-18.7 (-48.8, 3.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>-18.8# (29.4, -12.7)</td>
<td>7.8 (-11.0, 19.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>-18.6# (-34.4, -12.0)</td>
<td>7.9 (-4.5, 17.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very small</td>
<td>-20.1# (-37.0, -13.8)</td>
<td>8.5 (-8.0, 19.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>1.92±0.18</td>
<td>1.67±0.13</td>
<td>-19.9±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-52.4# (-67.2, -17.9)</td>
<td>19.6 (-5.2, 69.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL</td>
<td>-19.3* (-40.5, -5.5)</td>
<td>-3.8 (-26.2, 17.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL large</td>
<td>-31.1 (43.7, 12.9)</td>
<td>-36.9 (-73.8, 26.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL medium</td>
<td>-29.5* (-60.9, -11.9)</td>
<td>-2.8 (-33.7, 16.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL small</td>
<td>0.4 (29.2, 29.4)</td>
<td>-4.8 (-8.2, 16.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TG indicates triglyceride; IDL, intermediate-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; VLDL, very low-density lipoprotein.

Lipid data are shown in left panel; particle data are shown in right panel. Serum lipid and associated particle changes (all changes percent change from baseline over 12-wk study). Serum lipids shown as mean±SE. Concentration of particles shown as median, 25th percentile, and 75th percentile.

*P value vs placebo <0.05.

#P value vs placebo <0.001.

small subclass, may again be the result of smaller or characteristically different VLDL, with diminished TG-to-HDL transfer and subsequent attenuated hydrolysis. The increase in HDL particle number is consistent with both the observed increase in apoAI and the substantial increase in apoAII, a key HDL structural protein derived predominantly from the liver. This inferred hepatic influence parallels the increase in apoAII expression from both HepG2 and HuH-7 cells demonstrated with administration of GW501516 and implicates potential hepatic metabolism, as important in the metabolic consequences of PPARδ pharmacology. We might expect particularly enhanced apoAII production to encourage a selective increase in the number of AI/AII particles, more consistent with HDL3. Although HDL2 (and apoAI) appear to be especially informative for coronary heart disease risk, both HDL2 (predominantly apoAI) and HDL3 are generally predictive of cardiovascular protection.20

The HDL-C and TG changes observed with this agent in euglycemic subjects treated with GW501516. However, the equivalent fatty acid reduction among the doses, in the setting of a dose-related reduction in TGs, implies the potential for hepatic effects outside that of muscle per se. Also consistent with preclinical models, a significant decrease in serum insulin was observed at the 2 lower GW501516 doses in the core study, in the setting of unchanged glucose concentrations. This would imply an improvement in insulin sensitivity. Reductions in fasting insulin accompanying increases in fatty acid oxidation have been reported previously in euglycemic subjects treated with GW501516.6 However, whether the implied change in insulin sensitivity and glucose uptake is related to a decreased availability of TGs is unclear. Furthermore, the expected loss in body weight, appreciated in preclinical models associated with improved insulin sensitivity, was not apparent in this study. Indeed, an increase was seen for the highest dose group, a subcohort in whom no insulin benefits were observed. These data do not allow characterization of the weight change composition, which potentially includes fat, muscle mass, glycogen storage, or water. The latter explanation is perhaps particularly unlikely, in that weight was sustained at the 2-week follow-up examination for all cohorts and there was no record of edema.

There are a few caveats to our investigation. Although the primary dose ranging study was performed in 2 stages (enabling safety evaluations before advancing to the 10-mg dose), the lipid changes in the treatment arms common to both stages 1 and 2 (placebo and 5 mg) were sufficiently concordant to permit pooling of data. There were some baseline differences in lipid/apolipoprotein values, including TG and apoAI. However,
adjustments for baseline values were included in the statistical models. In addition, particle analyses were done in a separate population, with the test agent provided for 6 weeks at each serial dose. However, the baseline attributes were reasonably comparable, and the changes in lipid values were equivalent and net influences on traditional lipid factors appeared similar at the end of 12 weeks for both studies.

In summary, this study recommends pharmacologic targeting of PPARδ as a central link in the commonly appreciated abnormalities observed among HDL-C, TG, and LDL-C. In the setting of metabolic syndrome, it could be inferred that this nuclear receptor influences several features of the syndrome, including insulin sensitivity, at both the peripheral and hepatic level. Long-term preclinical safety studies have precluded further development of GW501516 for dyslipidemia indications. However, manipulation of this receptor pathway might become a powerful approach in addressing the therapeutic challenges across several patient populations including metabolic syndrome or those diseases with a genesis in dysfunctional fatty acid oxidation.
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