

## The Importance of Sex in the Stress–Heart Disease Relationship and the Potential Contribution of Gender to Future Research

Simon L. Bacon

We now have numerous studies that have linked increased levels of negative emotions and stress with the development and progression of cardiovascular disease—a relationship that seems to be sex specific in its pattern of outcomes.<sup>1,2</sup> However, the mechanisms of these relationships are still a source of much debate. One of the strongest potential pathways linking acute psychological stress with worse outcomes is through mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia (MSIMI).<sup>3</sup> Though there is a large overlap (ca. 70%) in individuals who demonstrate both MSIMI and more common (ie, exercise or pharmacological) stress-induced ischemia,<sup>4</sup> these seem to be distinctly different entities. Firstly, it would seem that more individuals are likely to have MSIMI only than exercise/pharmacological stress-induced ischemia only (20% versus 10%).<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, the profiles and potential mechanistic factors of those at risk for MSIMI compared with exercise/pharmacological stress-induced ischemia are different. For example, those individuals who exhibit MSIMI are more likely to be women, have greater microvascular disease, have poorer left ventricular dysfunction, and display endothelial dysfunction, whereas exercise/pharmacological stress-induced ischemia is generally associated with men and those with larger and more diffuse coronary artery plaque.<sup>4–6</sup> Though there are sex differences in the rates of presentations of both MSIMI and exercise/pharmacological stress-induced ischemia, both men and women do exhibit both of these forms of ischemia. However, we do not know if the mechanistic factors that underlie these stress-induced ischemia differ by sex; for example, does MSIMI in women differ from MSIMI in men? Understanding these processes can ultimately help in the development of better tailored diagnostic procedures and interventions to treat cardiovascular disease.

### See accompanying article on page 473

The article by Sullivan et al<sup>7</sup> provides some important initial insights into sex differences in the potential mechanisms driving MSIMI. This secondary analysis of 678 (25% women) patients in the MIPS study (Mental Stress Ischemia Mechanisms and Prognosis) evaluated mental stress (public

speaking)-induced vascular and hemodynamic responses. The authors found that MSIMI was generally driven by peripheral vasoconstriction in women compared with increased hemodynamic workload in men, results that were independent of exercise/pharmacological stress-induced ischemia. These results suggest that men with MSIMI are effectively cardiac stress reactors, with increased myocardial oxygen demands, and women with MSIMI are more likely to be vascular reactors, with greater endothelial driven increases in vascular resistance (which leads to increased left ventricular afterload and dysfunction).<sup>8</sup> However, the article by Sullivan et al does only provide initial insights, and there is a great deal of further information that we need. For example, building a more complex map of MSIMI, to include additional measures of the autonomic nervous system, the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, nitric oxide release and usage, coagulation, and, most importantly, microvascular function, along with a more balanced sample of men and women is needed to adequately disentangle sex differences in MSIMI.

Clinically, the article by Sullivan et al<sup>7</sup> also provides a platform for future studies to build on to improve sex-specific diagnostic procedures<sup>9</sup> and treatments.<sup>10</sup> From a diagnostic perspective, several reviews of myocardial perfusion studies (the method used in the article by Sullivan et al to assess ischemia) using exercise/pharmacological stress have consistently found lower sensitivity and specificity for the prediction of heart disease in women relative to men.<sup>11,12</sup> Historically, one of the primary explanations for this imbalance in imaging techniques in women, compared with men, has been attributed to anatomy.<sup>11,13–15</sup> However, the study by Sullivan et al suggests that these limitations might not be solely anatomic and that there could well be physiological drivers to the poorer capacity of exercise/pharmacological stress perfusion imaging to detect ischemia in women. In contrast, the use of acute psychological stress tasks might actually be more appropriate in women, eliciting a more accurate physiological response,<sup>16,17</sup> which, in turn, may have greater prognostic ability.<sup>3</sup> With regards to the potential MSIMI treatment options, there is little data that has explored this question, let alone any sex-specific aspects. The REMIT study (Responses of Mental Stress-Induced Myocardial Ischemia to Escitalopram Treatment) assessed the efficacy of a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (escitalopram) to reduce MSIMI. After 6 weeks, the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor lead to significant reductions in MSIMI and no statistical impact on exercise-induced ischemia.<sup>10</sup> This improvement seemed to be driven by increased left ventricular function (at rest and after mental stress) and stress-induced decreases in rate pressure product (a measure of myocardial oxygen demand). Unfortunately, these outcomes were not

From the Montreal Behavioural Medicine Centre, CIUSSS-NIM, Hôpital du Sacré-Coeur de Montréal, Quebec, Canada; and Department of Exercise Science, Concordia University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Correspondence to Simon L. Bacon, PhD, Department of Exercise Science, Concordia University, 7141 Sherbrooke St West, Montreal H4B 1R6, Canada. E-mail [simon.bacon@concordia.ca](mailto:simon.bacon@concordia.ca)

(*Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2018;38:290–291.  
DOI: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.310461.)

© 2018 American Heart Association, Inc.

*Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* is available at <http://atvb.ahajournals.org>  
DOI: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.310461

broken down to explore sex-specific patterns. From the article by Sullivan et al,<sup>7</sup> one might expect that improved left ventricular function would have been the hallmark of MSIMI reductions in women and improved myocardial oxygen demand in men. The potential sex-specific benefits of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, along with the usage of existing additional therapies or the development of new interventions (either on their own or in addition to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors), need to be assessed. Further focused sex-specific research on both of these issues is certainly needed.

Finally, another important future extension to the study by Sullivan et al<sup>7</sup> would be to explore the independent role that gender may play in the evolution of MSIMI and whether there are specific mechanistic pathways that might relate to certain gender patterns, above and beyond sex. One of the great complexities here is to actually define gender, which is a multifaceted, complex, psychosocial, and cultural construct.<sup>18,19</sup> It includes elements such as gender identify (eg, masculinity or femininity), gender role (eg, child caretaking or being the primary income earner), and institutional gender (eg, access to childcare or pay inequity). This contrasts to the more physiological/anatomical notion of sex. Of note, the difference between sex and gender has been considered akin to that of genotype versus phenotype,<sup>20</sup> meaning that both aspects must be assessed to fully understand their unique and combined impact. Highlighting this is the growing literature that is showing the impact of certain aspects of gender on cardiovascular outcomes, which are independent of sex.<sup>21</sup> These distinctions could also have direct implications for the results of the study by Sullivan et al<sup>7</sup> because there is some suggestion that sex may drive heart disease pathways associated with factors such as abdominal obesity and insulin-resistance, whereas gender may drive heart disease through factors such as psychology distress and acute stress.<sup>22</sup> As such, how much of the sex difference seen could actually be attributable to gender or how much more might gender be able to explain the variability of stress responses? As with the arguments above, answers to these questions should ultimately lead us to better tailored medical care.

## Disclosures

None.

## References

- Paine NJ, Bacon SL, Pelletier R, Arsenaault A, Diodati JG, Lavoie KL. Do women with anxiety or depression have higher rates of myocardial ischemia during exercise testing than men? *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2016;9(2 suppl 1):S53–S61. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.115.002491.
- Pelletier R, Choi J, Winters N, Eisenberg MJ, Bacon SL, Cox J, Daskalopoulou SS, Lavoie KL, Karp I, Shimony A, So D, Thanassoulis G, Pilote L; GENESIS-PRAXY Investigators. Sex differences in clinical outcomes after premature acute coronary syndrome. *Can J Cardiol*. 2016;32:1447–1453. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2016.05.018.
- Wei J, Rooks C, Ramadan R, Shah AJ, Bremner JD, Quyyumi AA, Kutner M, Vaccarino V. Meta-analysis of mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia and subsequent cardiac events in patients with coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2014;114:187–192. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2014.04.022.
- Jiang W. Emotional triggering of cardiac dysfunction: the present and future. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2015;17:91. doi: 10.1007/s11886-015-0635-3.
- Jiang W, Samad Z, Boyle S, Becker RC, Williams R, Kuhn C, Ortel TL, Rogers J, Kuchibhatla M, O'Connor C, Velazquez EJ. Prevalence and clinical characteristics of mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia in patients with coronary heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:714–722. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.037.
- Hammadah M, Al Mheid I, Wilmot K, et al. Association between high-sensitivity cardiac troponin levels and myocardial ischemia during mental stress and conventional stress [published online ahead of print March 10, 2017]. *JACC Cardiovascular Imaging*. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.11.021.
- Sullivan S, Hammadah M, Al Mheid I, et al. Sex differences in hemodynamic and microvascular mechanisms of myocardial ischemia induced by mental stress. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2018;38:473–480. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.309535.
- Arri SS, Ryan M, Redwood SR, Marber MS. Mental stress-induced myocardial ischaemia. *Heart (British Cardiac Society)*. 2016;102:472–480.
- Pelletier R, Humphries KH, Shimony A, Bacon SL, Lavoie KL, Rabi D, Karp I, Tsadok MA, Pilote L; GENESIS-PRAXY Investigators. Sex-related differences in access to care among patients with premature acute coronary syndrome. *CMAJ*. 2014;186:497–504. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.131450.
- Jiang W, Velazquez EJ, Kuchibhatla M, Samad Z, Boyle SH, Kuhn C, Becker RC, Ortel TL, Williams RB, Rogers JG, O'Connor C. Effect of escitalopram on mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia: results of the REMIT trial. *JAMA*. 2013;309:2139–2149. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.5566.
- Iskandrian AE, Heo J, Nallamothu N. Detection of coronary artery disease in women with use of stress single-photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 1997;4:329–335.
- Kwok Y, Kim C, Grady D, Segal M, Redberg R. Meta-analysis of exercise testing to detect coronary artery disease in women. *Am J Cardiol*. 1999;83:660–666.
- Burrell S, MacDonald A. Artifacts and pitfalls in myocardial perfusion imaging. *J Nucl Med Technol*. 2006;34:193–211.
- Hansen CL, Crabbe D, Rubin S. Lower diagnostic accuracy of thallium-201 SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging in women: an effect of smaller chamber size. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1996;28:1214–1219. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(96)00304-X.
- Gardin JM, Wagenknecht LE, Anton-Culver H, Flack J, Gidding S, Kurosaki T, Wong ND, Manolio TA. Relationship of cardiovascular risk factors to echocardiographic left ventricular mass in healthy young black and white adult men and women. The CARDIA study. Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults. *Circulation*. 1995;92:380–387.
- Stoney CM, Davis MC, Matthews KA. Sex differences in physiological responses to stress and in coronary heart disease: a causal link? *Psychophysiology*. 1987;24:127–131.
- Samad Z, Boyle S, Erbsoll M, Vora AN, Zhang Y, Becker RC, Williams R, Kuhn C, Ortel TL, Rogers JG, O'Connor CM, Velazquez EJ, Jiang W; REMIT Investigators. Sex differences in platelet reactivity and cardiovascular and psychological response to mental stress in patients with stable ischemic heart disease: insights from the REMIT study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:1669–1678. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.04.087.
- Pelletier R, Ditto B, Pilote L. A composite measure of gender and its association with risk factors in patients with premature acute coronary syndrome. *Psychosom Med*. 2015;77:517–526. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0000000000000186.
- Clayton JA, Tannenbaum C. Reporting sex, gender, or both in clinical research? *JAMA*. 2016;316:1863–1864. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.16405.
- Wilson D. Gender vs sex. *JAMA*. 2000;284:2997–2998.
- Pelletier R, Khan NA, Cox J, Daskalopoulou SS, Eisenberg MJ, Bacon SL, Lavoie KL, Daskupta K, Rabi D, Humphries KH, Norris CM, Thanassoulis G, Behloul H, Pilote L; GENESIS-PRAXY Investigators. Sex versus gender-related characteristics: which predicts outcome after acute coronary syndrome in the young? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;67:127–135. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.10.067.
- Pucci G, Alcidi R, Tap L, Battista F, Mattace-Raso F, Schillaci G. Sex- and gender-related prevalence, cardiovascular risk and therapeutic approach in metabolic syndrome: a review of the literature. *Pharmacol Res*. 2017;120:34–42. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2017.03.008.

# Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology



JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION

## The Importance of Sex in the Stress–Heart Disease Relationship and the Potential Contribution of Gender to Future Research

Simon L. Bacon

*Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2018;38:290-291

doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.310461

*Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2018 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 1079-5642. Online ISSN: 1524-4636

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://atvb.ahajournals.org/content/38/2/290>

**Permissions:** Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

**Reprints:** Information about reprints can be found online at:  
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

**Subscriptions:** Information about subscribing to *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* is online at:  
<http://atvb.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>