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Macrophage Proliferation in Atherosclerosis
An Historical Perspective

Michael E. Rosenfeld

It seems that every decade seminal observations related to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis are revisited especially when new technology, animal models, or reagents are developed. This is the case with this study by Robbins et al1 from the Massachusetts General Hospital. These investigators have used a variety of powerful techniques to address the question of what roles macrophage proliferation and monocyte recruitment play in accounting for the numbers of macrophages within the intima of atherosclerotic plaques in mice at different stages of lesion development. This study makes an important new contribution because it provides quantitative evidence that monocyte recruitment followed by differentiation into macrophages predominates at early stages of lesion development in mice, whereas macrophage proliferation is predominant at later stages.

The authors started by continuously infusing the thymidine analogue, bromodeoxyuridine (BrDU) into 4-month-old apolipoprotein E-deficient (ApoE−/−) mice on a high cholesterol diet. After enzyme digestion of the aorta, they measured the BrDU incorporation into macrophages (Lin− CD11b+ CD11c−/low F4/80high cells) by flow cytometry and found that 92% of the macrophages were labeled. They corroborated these findings by also analyzing 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining for S/G2/M phase cells, H3 histone phosphorylation, and positive immunostaining for another proliferation marker Ki67 in tissue sections. Depletion of circulating monocytes had no effect on BrDU incorporation, suggesting that monocyte recruitment was not playing a role in replenishing the pool of proliferating macrophages in the established lesions. They analyzed this further using the classical technique of parabiosis. They joined the circulations of diet-fed 4-month-old CD45.1+ ApoE−/− mice with 4-month-old CD45.2+ ApoE−/− mice. By 5 months later, the CD45.1+ cells had replenished many of the macrophages within the plaques. Intriguingly, they also provided evidence that the type 1 scavenger receptor A (Msr1) seems to play a role in mediating macrophage proliferation in the mouse lesions. They transplanted irradiated 8-week-old Ldlr−/− (low-density lipoprotein receptor deficient) mice with a mixture of WT CD45.1+ and Msr1−/− CD45.2+ bone marrow cells and after BrdU infusion they compared the proliferation of Msr1+/+ and Msr1−/− macrophages at 26 weeks of age. Surprisingly, there were considerably fewer BrdU+ Msr1−/− macrophages in the lesions.

Macrophage proliferation in atherosclerotic lesions is not a new concept. In 1948, McMillan and Duff3 reported on mitotic activity in foam cells in atherosclerotic lesions in cholesterol-fed rabbits. In the 1960s, Spraragen et al3 and McMillan and Stary5 went on to apply the technique of H-thymidine autoradiography to provide more definitive data that cellular proliferation was an active process within the rabbit lesions. Shortly thereafter, thymidine incorporation was further documented in lesions from rabbits,5,6 swine,7 Rhesus monkeys,8 and humans.9

In the 1980s, several of us at the University of Washington applied newly developed cell type–specific monoclonal antibodies (RAM-11 and HAM-56) to definitively demonstrate that the labeled cells were both macrophages and smooth muscle cells.10–12 In studies of Watanabe Heritable Hyperlipemic (WHHL) and comparably hypercholesterolemic fat–fed rabbits, we combined the techniques of immunocytochemistry and thymidine autoradiography on single sections to identify which cell types were proliferating.10 We found that 30% of the labeled cells were definitively macrophages. In keeping with the observations of Robbins et al for a possible role for the type A scavenger receptor and lipid accumulation in mediating the macrophage proliferation, we also found that many of the labeled macrophages in the rabbit lesions were foam cells and that lipid accumulation regardless of whether it was from endogenous (WHHL) or exogenous (fat-fed) sources did not compromise macrophage proliferation. However, in contrast to the conclusions of Robbins et al, we observed that the early lesions in the rabbits had more macrophage proliferation (percentage of total cells) than more advanced lesions.

Concurrently, Gordon et al11 and Katsuda et al12 simultaneously applied the cell type–specific antibodies along with a monoclonal antibody to the proliferating cell nuclear antigen to demonstrate macrophage proliferation in human coronary and aortic lesions. These results were later corroborated by additional studies.13–17 Of particular note was a study by Lutgens et al1 on autopsy specimens of the descending aorta. These investigators used the proliferation marker Ki67 and the macrophage.
marker CD68 and in keeping with our studies of rabbits they reported that early American Heart Association type II lesions had the highest frequency of macrophage proliferation.

A major limitation of the study by Robbins et al is that it is difficult to extrapolate their observations to human atherosclerosis. Normal human muscular arteries have an intima (often referred to as diffuse intimal thickening) that contains resident macrophages.16 Normal mouse arteries do not have an intima. Thus in the mouse, monocyte recruitment leads to the formation of the intima and must predominate at early stages. In the human, it may be that resident macrophages are also induced to proliferate as part of the early inflammatory response and that macrophage proliferation contributes more to expansion of early lesions in humans than it would in mice. In fact, cells in human diffuse intimal thickenings have been shown to express proliferation markers.16 Additional unanswered questions are to what degree the macrophage proliferation actually contributes to lesion progression rather than just replenishment of the macrophage population and to what degree the scavenger receptor status regulates the proliferation. This is underscored by the controversy that exists as to whether the Mrsl plays a role in lesion development as there has been contradictory evidence that knockout or overexpression of the Mrsl affects lesion area and composition in several different mouse models.19–25 Nevertheless, the major strength of the studies of Robbins et al is that based on several different and simultaneously used quantitative approaches, they have conclusively demonstrated that macrophage proliferation contributes to maintaining the macrophage population of mouse lesions. Furthermore, this study has refocused our attention on the importance of macrophage proliferation in atherosclerosis and has for the first time provided quantitative evidence that at certain stages of the disease, macrophage proliferation may be a predominant mechanism supporting the chronic inflammatory response that is characteristic of atherosclerosis.

Disclosures

None.

References


Key Words: Editorials • atherosclerosis • inflammation • macrophages • monocytes
Macrophage Proliferation in Atherosclerosis: An Historical Perspective
Michael E. Rosenfeld

Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2014;34:e21-e22; originally published online August 28, 2014;
doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.303379
Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology is published by the American Heart Association, 7272
Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2014 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 1079-5642. Online ISSN: 1524-4636

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the
World Wide Web at:
http://atvb.ahajournals.org/content/34/10/e21

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published
in Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the
Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for
which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web
page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights
Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology is online
at:
http://atvb.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/