Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Receptor Subtypes Differentially Regulate Smooth Muscle Cell Phenotype
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Objective—The role of sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptors in acute vascular injury and smooth muscle cell (SMC) phenotypic modulation is not completely resolved.

Methods and Results—S1P receptor antagonists were used to test the hypothesis that specific S1P receptor subtypes differentially regulate SMC phenotypic modulation. In response to acute balloon injury of the rat carotid artery, S1P1/S1P3 receptor mRNA levels were transiently increased at 48 hours whereas S1P2 receptor expression was decreased. S1P2 expression was reinduced and increased at 7 to 10 days postinjury. Daily intraperitoneal injection of the S1P1/S1P3 antagonist VPC44116 decreased neointimal hyperplasia by ~50%. In vitro, pharmacological inhibition of S1P1/S1P3 receptors with VPC25239 attenuated S1P-induced proliferation of rat aortic SMCs. Conversely, inhibition of S1P2 with JTE013 potentiated S1P-induced proliferation. Inhibition of S1P1/S1P3 resulted in S1P-induced activation of the SMC differentiation marker genes SMα-actin and SMMHC, whereas inhibition of S1P2 attenuated this response. S1P2-dependent activation of SMα-actin and SMMHC was shown to be mediated by L-type voltage-gated Ca2+ channels and subsequent RhoA/Rho kinase–dependent SRF enrichment of CArG box promoter regions.

Conclusion—Results provide evidence that S1P1/S1P3 receptors promote, whereas S1P2 receptors antagonize, SMC proliferation and phenotypic modulation in vitro in response to S1P, or in vivo after vascular injury. (Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2008;28:1454-1461)
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Kluk and Hla showed that rat pup intimal SMCs expressed greater mRNA levels of S1P1 than adult medial SMCs and had a greater proliferative response to S1P. This distinction is important because S1P1, S1P2, and S1P3 are coupled to different and opposing signaling cascades. For example, S1P1 is coupled to Gi, and has been shown to activate extracellular signal regulated kinase (ERK), PI3K, and Rac whereas S1P2 is coupled to Go, Gq, and G12/13 and signals in part through JNK and Rho (reviewed in13). Activation of S1P2 is thought to directly oppose S1P1-induced proliferation and migration through a Rho-dependent pathway and decreased Rac.16–18

Our understanding of true S1P receptor isoform expression levels, though, is challenged by the fact that there are few commercially available antibodies to these receptors and we must rely heavily on mRNA expression profiles. That being said, mice genetically null for specific S1P receptor isoforms have yielded valuable insight into the functional role of these receptors in SMCs in vivo. For example, S1P1 null mice die E12.5 to 14.5 and have impaired homing of SMCs to developing blood vessels, suggesting that S1P1 is critical for vascular SMC migration in vivo.19 In contrast, exciting recent studies by Reidy and colleagues revealed that carotid neointimal hyperplasia is increased in response to ligation in mice that are null for the S1P2 receptor and that mouse lines with higher levels of S1P1 mRNA, ie, FVB mice, show increased neointimal hyperplasia compared to C57/B16 mice.20,21

Taken together, the general paradigm that has emerged is that S1P1 regulates SMC proliferation and migration whereas S1P2 has opposing actions. However, given observations by Proia et al19,22 that S1P receptors play a critical role in vascular development, one must also consider the possibility that enhanced neointimal formation in S1P2 null mice may also be attributable to secondary adaptive changes in gene expression. In addition, as yet no studies have been reported to address whether S1P receptor subtypes are differentially expressed in response to vascular injury, nor have studies defined cellular and molecular mechanisms whereby different S1P subtypes mediate their effects on SMC differentiation or phenotypic switching. The present studies use a series of novel selective S1P analogues23–25 that function as selective S1P receptor agonists or antagonists to address each of these key questions.

Methods

For detailed methods, see online supplement (available online at http://atvb.ahajournals.org).

Rat aortic SMCs were isolated and cultured (passage 11 to 15) as previously described.11 For all experiments, SMCs were grown to full confluence and growth arrested in serum-free media before stimulation. Sphingosine-1-phosphate (Avanti Polar Lipids); JTE013 (Tocris); VPC44116, VPC01091, VPC25239 were synthesized at the University of Virginia22–25; Y27632 gift from Mitsubishi Pharma Corp, Koyata, Japan; (S)FTY720 a gift from Novartis. SMCs were transfected with plasmids using FuGENE6 (Roche) when the cells were ~80% confluent. For vascular injury studies, male Sprague-Dawley rats (350 to 400 g) were anesthetized, and acute injury to the left common carotid artery was made with a 2F Fogarty balloon catheter as described previously.26 Total RNA was prepared from cultured rat aortic SMCs and tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA synthesized using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad) and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis (iCycler, BioRad) was performed on cDNA using SybrGreen, as previously described.11,27 Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed as previously described.11,27 Quantification of protein:DNA interaction/enrichment was determined by the following 2

\[ \text{protein:DNA interaction/enrichment} = \frac{A_{\text{ChIP}} - A_{\text{No antibody control}}}{A_{\text{Ref}}} \]

Statistical significance among treatment groups was confirmed with a 1-way ANOVA when appropriate. Statistical significance between specific groups was determined by a posthoc multiple comparison Student-Newman-Keuls test (P<0.05).

Results

S1P Receptors Are Differentially Expressed After Acute Balloon Injury of the Rat Carotid Artery

Previous studies have shown that expression profiles of multiple receptors can be rapidly altered after acute vascular injury. For example, studies by Majesky et al showed that the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor subunit β is rapidly decreased during the first 24 hours of the initial response to acute balloon injury but reinduced 48 hours to 1 week later.28 We used the rat carotid artery balloon injury model26 to test the hypothesis that S1P receptors are differentially regulated after injury at 24 hours, 72 hours, and 7, 10, and 14 days. There were no significant differences in basal S1P1, S1P2, and S1P3 mRNA levels after sham operation (supplemental Figure I), and S1P4 and S1P5 mRNA levels were indistinguishable from no RT controls (data not shown). S1P1 and S1P3 receptor mRNA levels were significantly and transiently increased 36.68±7.86- and 4.57±1.25-fold, respectively, 24 to 72 hours postinjury and restored to control levels by 7 days (Figure 1). S1P2 receptor mRNA levels were reduced by ~55% (P<0.05; Figure 1, inset) after acute injury at 24 hours but restored to normal levels by 72 hours and increased 12.07±2.86-fold 10 days after injury (P<0.05).
Treatment With VPC44116, an S1P1 Antagonist, Attenuates Neointimal Hyperplasia After Acute Balloon Injury of the Rat Carotid Artery

The S1P receptor mRNA expression profiles presented in Figure 1 are consistent with the concept that the S1P1 and S1P3 receptor might be critical for the onset of SMC proliferation, which occurs primarily during the first 48 to 72 hours in this model.26 Therefore, animals were treated daily I.P. with VPC44116 (10 mg/kg) 1 day before and 14 days after balloon injury. VPC44116 is a meta-substituted arylamide phosphonate discovered to be an antagonist at S1P1 and S1P3.23 In vivo, VPC44116 has been shown to block S1P receptor agonist-induced lymphopenia,23 and this compound has a half life of approximately 3.1 hours in rats (unpublished data, Kevin Lynch, 2006). VPC44116 treatment reduced neointimal hyperplasia by ≈50% (P=0.025; Figure 2). Medial areas in vehicle (0.1±0.05 mm²) and VPC44116 (0.12±0.06) were not different after vascular injury; neointimal areas in vehicle and VPC44116 were 0.132±0.04 mm² and 0.065±0.03 mm², respectively. Of interest, treatment with VPC01091 1 day before and 7 days after injury I.P. (10 mg/kg) showed a trend for increased neointimal hyperplasia at 14 days postinjury, although not significant (P=0.055). VPC01091 is a compound with S1P1 agonist activity and S1P3 antagonist activity and has been shown to cause lymphopenia in vivo.23

Pharmacological Inhibition of Select S1P Receptors Differentially Regulates S1P-Induced SMC Proliferation

To further understand the mechanism by which S1P receptors regulate SMC phenotypic modulation, we used several selective S1P receptor antagonists in in vitro experiments. We first assessed whether these receptor antagonists could alter the S1P-induced SMC proliferation. Rat aortic SMCs were grown to 100% confluence and growth arrested for 72 hours before treatment with S1P. S1P treatment induced SMC proliferation in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3). SMCs from various vascular beds and differing mouse strains express different mRNA levels of S1P receptors. Under these specific cell culture conditions, quantitative real-time PCR revealed that rat aortic SMCs expressed equivalent mRNA levels of S1P1, S1P2, and S1P3 but no expression of S1P4 and S1P5 (supplemental Figure I). This does not rule out that endogenous S1P receptor proteins levels are different; however, there are no commercially available antibodies that meet our criteria for S1P receptor antibody selectivity. Pretreatment of SMCs with VPC25239 (1.0 μmol/L), an S1P1 and S1P3 antagonist with similar affinity for both receptors and no activity at S1P2,24 attenuated S1P-induced proliferation in...
a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3A). Conversely, pretreatment of cells with JTE013 (1.0 μmol/L), an S1P2 receptor antagonist with IC50 = 20 nmol/L (IC50 values >10 μmol/L at S1P1/S1P3),29 potentiated S1P-induced SMC proliferation in a dose-dependent manner. The enhanced proliferation response in the presence of VPC25239 and blunted proliferation response in the presence of JTE013 were sustained out to 96 hours (Figure 3B). Taken together, pharmacological inhibition of S1P1 and S1P3, not S1P2, prevents S1P-induced SMC proliferation.

**Differential Regulation of SMC Differentiation Marker Genes by S1P Receptors**

A hallmark of SMC phenotypic modulation associated with acute vascular injury is transient suppression of SMC differentiation marker genes that define the contractile phenotype; these genes include SMα-actin, SMMHC, and SM22α.1,2 Recent studies by Lockman et al showed that treatment of rat aortic SMCs with S1P increased expression of the SMC differentiation marker genes SMα-actin, SM22α, and SMMHC.14 We repeated these studies by testing the effects of S1P on the activity of a series of promoter-luciferase constructs: SMα-actin (−2.6/−2.8 kb), SMMHC (−4.2/−11.6 kb), SM22α (−447/+89 bp), c-fos (−356/+109 bp), and ACLP (−2502/+176 bp). In supplemental Figure IIA, treatment of SMCs with S1P resulted in a dose-dependent increase in promoter activity of SMα-actin, SM22α, and SMMHC, as well as promoter activity of c-fos. The non–CArG-mediated ACLP promoter construct showed no changes in promoter activity in response to S1P, suggesting that CArG cis-regulatory elements, which bind SRF, may play a critical role in the response to S1P (addressed below). Treatment of SMCs with S1P also increased SMα-actin and SMMHC mRNA but had no effect on the SRF cofactor myocardin (supplemental Figure IIIB). Pretreatment of SMCs with the S1P1/S1P3 antagonist, VPC25239 (1.0 μmol/L), potentiated S1P-induced SMα-actin and SMMHC promoter activity, not c-fos (Figure 4A).

Conversely, blocking S1P2 with JTE013 (1.0 μmol/L) pretreatment resulted in S1P-induced suppression of SMα-actin promoter activity, with little effect on c-fos promoter activity (Figure 4B). We next performed cotransfection experiments overexpressing S1P1 or S1P2 with the SMα-actin promoter reporter construct. Overexpression of S1P1 attenuated whereas overexpression of S1P2 potentiated S1P-induced activation of SMα-actin luciferase (supplemental Figure III). Of interest, treatment of SMCs by FTY720 (fingolimod), a drug that, after phosphorylation, is an agonist at S1P1, S1P3, S1P4, and S1P5, not S1P2, resulted in suppression of SMC differentiation marker gene promoter activity (supplemental Figure IV). Taken together, these pharmacological results and overexpression studies show that activation of the S1P1 and S1P3 receptors suppress genes that define the contractile SMC phenotype whereas the S1P2 receptor promotes SMC differentiation. Moreover, c-fos is differentially regulated by S1P receptors.

**S1P2 Mediates Increased H4Ac and SRF Binding to CArG Promoter Regions Within Intact Chromatin**

Multiple SMC differentiation marker gene promoters contain 2 or more CArG boxes which bind SRF (serum response factor) that are required for promoter activity in vitro and in vivo, whereas c-fos contains 1 CArG in its promoter.1,3 We recently showed that histone H4 acetylation (H4Ac) of these CArG promoter regions is required for SRF binding both in vitro and in vivo.27 Although work by Lockman et al showed that S1P did not induce SRF enrichment of the SMα-actin CArG B element by EMISA,14 it is not clear whether S1P alters SMC differentiation marker chromatin structure and SRF binding at the endogenous chromatin level in an intact SMC. Moreover, there is no evidence linking S1P receptors to alterations in histone modifications and SRF interactions within CArG regions of SMC differentiation marker gene promoters in intact chromatin. Therefore, quantitative ChIP assays were used to directly test whether S1P treatment...
altered SRF enrichment of CArG-containing regions in the endogenous SMα-actin, SMMHC, or c-fos. S1P treatment increased H4Ac and SRF enrichment of the CArG-containing regions in the SMα-actin, SMMHC, and c-fos endogenous promoters (Figure 5A and 5B, respectively). S1P-induced SRF enrichment was significantly reduced by pretreating cells with the S1P2 antagonist JTE013 (Figure 5C). However, blocking S1P2 had no effect on SRF enrichment of the c-fos CArG promoter region. Subsequent studies tested whether blocking S1P1 and S1P3 with VPC25239 effected S1P-induced SRF enrichment of these promoters. Indeed, blocking S1P1 and S1P3 increased S1P-induced SRF enrichment of the SMα-actin and SMMHC promoter but did not increase the c-fos promoter over control levels (Figure 5D). Treatment of cells with either VPC25239 or JTE013 did not effect basal H4Ac or SRF enrichment of these promoters (data not shown).

**S1P2 Signals Through L-Type VGCCs and ROK to Regulate SRF Binding to Intact Chromatin**

Activation of the RhoA pathway in smooth muscle by agonists leads to RhoA dissociation from Rho-GDI, nucleotide exchange of GTP for GDP, and translocation of RhoA and Rho kinase (ROK) from the cytosol to the membrane fraction to initiate signaling cascades. We previously showed that depolarization-induced activation of L-type VGCCs resulted in a ROK-dependent enrichment of SRF to CArG promoter regions,11 and S1P has been shown to modulate human airway SMC contraction by activating L-type VGCCs.30 Moreover, several studies have shown S1P can activate ROK.13 In Figure 6, cells were pretreated with VPC25239 to block S1P1/S1P3 and then stimulated with (1) S1P ± JTE013 (1 μmol/L), S1P2 antagonist, (2) S1P ± nifedipine (1.0 μmol/L), an L-type voltage-gated Ca2+ channel (VGCC) blocker, or (3) S1P ± Y27632 (10 μmol/L), a ROK inhibitor. Pretreatment with VPC25239 did not alter RhoA translocation compared to vehicle alone (data not shown). In the presence of VPC25239, S1P induced a robust induction of RhoA translocation to the membrane that was blocked by JTE103 (Figure 6A). Blocking either L-type VGCCs (Figure 6B) or ROK (Figure 6C) prevented S1P-induced translocation of RhoA from the cytosolic fraction (CY) to the MB fraction (detergent-soluble particulate fraction) compared with the vehicle-treated MB. In Figure 6D, we show that blocking S1P1 and S1P3 with VPC25239 in the presence of nifedipine or the ROK inhibitor Y27632 prevented S1P-induced SRF enrichment of the SMα-actin and SMMHC CArG promoter regions. Taken together, these results suggest that activation of the S1P2 receptor mediates SRF enrichment of SMα-actin and SMMHC CArG promoter regions via L-type VGCC activation and RhoA/ROK signaling.

**Discussion**

The major focus of the studies presented herein was to determine whether S1P receptors are differentially regulated after acute vascular injury and whether we could use this information to target select S1P receptors to inhibit neointimal hyperplasia in response to vascular injury. Recent studies by Reidy and colleagues20,21 provided evidence showing that
S1P2 null mice have enhanced neointimal hyperplasia in response to vascular injury whereas mouse strains with higher expression levels of S1P2 show diminished neointima formation. Their results were the first to suggest that different S1P receptor subtypes mediate opposing effects after vascular injury in vivo. Results of the present studies confirm and extend these findings in several key aspects. First, our results provide evidence that S1P1 and S1P3 are transiently induced after acute vascular injury which is concomitant with a decrease in S1P2. Second, we were able to attenuate neointimal hyperplasia by treating animals with an S1P1/S1P3 selective antagonist before and after acute injury. These results are important in that they indicate that the major effects observed by Reidy and colleagues were not secondary to adaptive changes in gene expression. Moreover, results clearly demonstrate the feasibility of using selective S1P receptor antagonists or agonists for treating neointimal hyperplasia. Finally, given that transcriptional repression of SMC cell differentiation marker genes is a hallmark response in SMC phenotypic modulation associated with vascular injury, we also wanted to define cellular and molecular mechanisms whereby different S1P subtypes mediate their effects on SMC differentiation or phenotypic switching. We show that signaling through the S1P2 receptor increases expression of the SMC differentiation marker genes whereas S1P1/S1P3 suppress these genes. Furthermore, molecular regulation of these genes by S1P2 is dependent on VGCCs and RhoA/ROK signaling which results in increased binding of SRF to CArG cis regulatory elements in the endogenous SMα-actin and SMMHc promoters.

Over the past ≈8 years, studies detailing the role of S1P in vascular function suggest that the variety of effects mediated by S1P through multiple receptor isoforms in SMCs could provide an abundance of therapeutic targets for angiogenesis, atherosclerosis, and vascular injury. Results of the present studies and findings by Reidy and colleagues suggest that an S1P2 receptor agonist alone or in combination with S1P1/3 antagonist may be effective in inhibiting intimal hyperplasia. However, no selective S1P2 agonist has yet been developed to our knowledge. In addition, treatment with an S1P2 agonist alone may not be effective given our observations that S1P2 receptor mRNA levels are decreased after vascular injury (Figure 1, inset). Interestingly, Kuel et al recently showed that the investigational drug FTY720 (fingolimod), a potent immunosuppressant which is an S1P1, S1P3, S1P4, S1P5 agonist, attenuates experimental atherosclerosis development in ApoE<sup>−/−</sup> mice placed on a Western-type diet for 20 weeks. At first, this result might seem difficult to reconcile with observations in our studies showing that S1P1/S1P3 antagonists inhibit rather than promote injury-induced neointimal hyperplasia. However, there are fundamental differences in lesion formation after acute vascular injury versus during atherosclerosis that may account for these differences. For example, neointima lesions that form after acute vascular injury are predominantly composed of SMCs whereas lesions that develop in ApoE<sup>−/−</sup> mice are composed of macrophages, other inflammatory cells, and SMCs. Indeed, FTY720 treatment inhibited monocyte recruitment and decreased macrophage content in lesions, and others have shown that treatment of endothelial cells with SEW2871, a selective S1P1 agonist, decreases tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha induced monocyte recruitment. Taken together, results suggest that S1P receptor therapeutic strategies for inhibiting development of atherosclerosis or development of instent restenosis are likely to work by targeting different cell types but paradoxically target the same S1P1 receptor subtype. Moreover, understanding the temporal expression profiles of S1P receptors after vascular injury versus

Figure 6. S1P2 signals through L-type VGCCs and ROK to regulate SRF binding to intact chromatin. SMCs were pretreated VPC25239 to block S1P1/S1P3 for 60 minutes before treatment with S1P. Western blot for RhoA was performed on whole cell extract (WE, loading control), cytosolic (CY), and membrane detergent-soluble particulate fractions (MB) and densitometry analysis performed. A, SMCs were stimulated with S1P for 12 hours in the presence or absence of the S1P2 antagonist JTE013 (30 minutes pretreatment, n=4). B, SMCs were stimulated with S1P for 12 hours in the presence or absence of the L-type voltage-gated Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel blocker nifedipine (1 μmol/L, 30 minutes pretreatment, n=3). C, SMCs were stimulated with S1P for 12 hours in the presence or absence of the Rho kinase inhibitor Y27632 (10 μmol/L, pretreatment 30 minutes, n=3). *Significant difference in RhoA translocation to MB compared with vehicle and P<0.05. D, ChIP assays for SRF enrichment of SMα-actin and SMMHc CArG promoter regions. SMCs were pretreated with VPC25239 and nifedipine (1 μmol/L) or Y27632 (10 μmol/L) for 30 minutes and then stimulated with S1P (N=3). *Significant difference compared to S1P treatment, P<0.05.
the progression of atherosclerosis and in multiple cell types will be critical in regulating these disease processes. For example, gene analysis of human blood vessels showed that S1P1 was increased in the neointima of human lesions identified with instent restenosis compared normal/nondiseased blood vessels. The cell type(s) that contributed to this change in expression were not identified.

An unresolved but very important question raised by the present studies is to define the source of S1P which plays a role in mediating neointimal hyperplasia after acute vascular injury. The most logical source is the blood or platelets which are activated at the site of vascular injury. Also, in humans, the severity of atherosclerosis is positively correlated with serum S1P levels. Thus, one possibility is that S1P is readily available for SMCs once the endothelium has been denuded by balloon injury and platelets home to the injury site. However, an alternative possibility is that SMCs may also be a source of S1P. There are several indications that this may be the case. Sphingosine arises when sphingomyelin is broken down to ceramide and subsequent ceramide hydrolysis by ceramidases. Sphingosine is then phosphorylated to S1P by sphingosine kinase (Sphk1 or Sphk2). Forced expression of a dominant-negative isoform of Sphk1 in SMCs of resistance arteries inhibited the development of tone and myogenic responses, suggesting that there is basal vessel production and release of S1P that maintains vessel homeostasis. Furthermore, in cultured human coronary artery SMCs, Sphk1 is required for growth and PDGF-BB increases Sphk1 mRNA and protein levels. Indeed, preliminary results from our laboratory (Wamhoff, unpublished data, 2006) suggest that Sphk1 mRNA is increased and sustained after acute vascular injury. Thus, future studies are required to test the hypothesis that changes in endogenous S1P generation is necessary for S1P-mediated SMC phenotypic modulation. A challenge to testing this hypothesis will be the difficult task of measuring S1P levels in intact blood vessel after acute vascular injury and distinguishing S1P stored in platelets from S1P being produced by SMCs.

A number of distinct SMC phenotypes have been described based on gene expression profiles or cellular function. These include a contractile phenotype, a proliferative phenotype, an inflammatory phenotype, and the migratory phenotype. We have presented data herein and discussed results from others clearly implicating S1P1 in SMC proliferation and migration, with S1P2 having opposing effects. That being said, it is still unclear which S1P receptor isoforms regulate SMC differentiation marker genes that define the contractile phenotype. Previous studies by Lockman et al have shown that treating SMCs with S1P increased SMC proliferation and paradoxically increased expression of SMα-actin, SMMHC, and SM22α. Results of the present studies have confirmed these findings (Figures 3 and 4). Using a pharmacological approach, we further deduced that S1P1/S1P3 negatively regulate these genes and positively regulate proliferation whereas S1P2 opposes this action. Of interest, the role of ion channels have recently been shown to play a critical role in regulating SMC differentiation marker gene expression in vitro and in vivo; a concept referred to as excitation transcription coupling (ETC). S1P-induced contraction of smooth muscle involves S1P2 signaling which can couple Ca-influx via VGCCs and RhoA/ROK to elicit contraction. We previously reported that VGCC-dependent increases in [Ca2+]i, are associated with activation of expression of SMC differentiation marker genes through mechanisms that are dependent on ROK and increased binding of SRF to CArG cis regulatory promoter elements. Herein we show that S1P treatment increases histone H4Ac of CArG-containing promoter regions, which is indicative of an open chromatin state, and increased SRF enrichment to these CArG-containing regions. We further deduced that blocking S1P1/S1P3 increased SRF enrichment and that this could be attenuated by blocking VGCCs with nifedipine and prevented by inhibiting ROK with Y27632. Moreover, FTY720 treatment suppressed SMα-actin and SMMHC promoter-reporter activity. We speculate that suppression of these genes is mediated by ERK and Elk-1 via S1P1/S1P3, although this mechanism needs to be further explored. Taken together, S1P-induced activation of SMα-actin and SMMHC appears to be mediated by S1P2 signaling through L-type VGCCs, RhoA/ROK, and subsequent enrichment of SRF to CArG-containing promoter regions. These findings, although not proven, might link the differential regulation of S1P receptors after vascular injury with changes in SMC differentiation marker gene expression. That is (as shown in Figure 1), induction of S1P1/S1P3, coupled to reduction of S1P2, early in the injury response might drive SMC proliferation, migration, and suppression of SMC differentiation marker genes whereas reinduction of S1P2 late in the injury response begins to reverse this response, assisting in arresting neointimal growth and reinduction of the contractile phenotype. This S1P2 expression profile parallels transcriptional reactivation of several SMC differentiation marker genes in vivo after acute vascular injury, including SMα-actin, SMMHC, and SM22α.

In summary, data presented herein support a model whereby S1P receptors are differentially regulated by vascular injury and pharmacological treatment with an S1P1/S1P3 antagonist can attenuate neointimal hyperplasia. Furthermore, the S1P2 receptor plays a critical role in regulating SMC differentiation marker gene expression. There are several outstanding issues that need to be addressed. First, what is the source of S1P in atherosclerosis and in-stent restenosis? Second, how are S1P receptors regulated at the transcriptional level? Our understanding of the latter is in its infancy but will provide insight into the differential regulation of these disparate receptor subtypes in multiple diseases.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and reagents. Rat aortic SMCs were isolated and cultured (passage 11 to 15) as previously described\(^1\). For all experiments, SMCs were grown to full confluence and growth arrested in serum-free media prior to stimulation. Sphingosine-1-phosphate (Avanti Polar Lipids); JTE013 (Tocris); VPC44116, VPC01091, VPC25239 were synthesized at the University of Virginia\(^2\)^\(^4\); Y27632 gift from Mitsubishi Pharma Corp, Koyata, Japan; (s)FTY720 a gift from Novartis.

Rat carotid artery balloon injury. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (350–400 g) were anesthetized, and acute injury to the left common carotid artery was made with a 2F Fogarty balloon catheter as described previously\(^5\). At the indicated times after injury, animals were euthanized, and the injured left carotid and uninjured right carotid were removed and processed accordingly for mRNA. Total RNA was prepared from cultured TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and Q-BIOGene lysing matrix D, cDNA synthesized using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad) and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis (iCycler, BioRad) was performed on cDNA using SybrGreen. Each time point represents the mean ± SE of the injured (S1PR:18S) vessel normalized to the uninjured (S1PR:18S) vessel. In drug treatment studies, animals were euthanized 14 days after injury, perfusion fixed with 4% PFA and vessel were prepped for routine histology. Images were acquired of 6-8 histological sections at the anatomical midpoint of the balloon injury and neointimal hyperplasia (NI) determined using ImagePro. Using the region of interest function in ImagePro, the areas (mm\(^2\)) of the following were determined: 1) area contained by the EEL (inclusive of the media, neointima and lumen), 2) area contained by the IEL (inclusive of the neointima and lumen), 3) area contained by the lumen. The medial area was determined by subtracting the IEL area from the EEL area. The area of the neointima was determined by subtracting the lumen area from the IEL area. The neointima to media ratio (NI/M) was calculated by dividing the neointimal area by the media area. All animal procedures were approved by the University of Virginia Animal Care and Use Committee.

Sphingosine-1-phosphate primer sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rS1P1</td>
<td>5-ggaatctactcttggtgaggactc-3</td>
<td>5-ggaatctactcttggtgaggactc-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rS1P2</td>
<td>5-gcaagttccactcagccatgt-3</td>
<td>5-gcaagttccactcagccatgt-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rS1P3</td>
<td>5-tcatcagcatcttcacagccattc-3</td>
<td>5-tcatcagcatcttcacagccattc-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rS1P4</td>
<td>5-ctattccttccgagccgtg-3</td>
<td>5-ctattccttccgagccgtg-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rS1P5</td>
<td>5-aaacgctggaagacacagggatc-3</td>
<td>5-aaacgctggaagacacagggatc-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transient transfections. SMCs were transfected with plasmids using FuGENE6 (Roche) when the cells were \(\approx 80\%\) confluent. Cells were grown to confluence and changed to SFM at 2 days, at which time cells were treated with various drugs as described in the Results section. The total amount of DNA per well was kept constant (500 ng). Luciferase activity (Promega) was measured and normalized to cellular protein concentrations (Pierce). Each sample was examined in duplicate with a minimum of 3
biological replicates per treatment. CMV-S1P1 (rat), CMV-S1P2 (rat) and pcDNA3.1 were contransfected at 100 ng plasmid DNA with SMα-actin luciferase (400 ng).

**Quantitative real-time PCR and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays (ChIP)** Total RNA was prepared from cultured rat aortic SMCs using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), cDNA synthesized using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad) and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis (iCycler, BioRad) was performed on cDNA using SybrGreen, as previously described1,6. All results were normalized to 18S rRNA and expressed as a percent of control. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed as previously described1,6. Real-time PCR was performed on 1 ng genomic DNA from ChIP. Real-time PCR primers were designed to flank the 5'-CArG elements of SMα-actin and SMMHC, the c-fos CArG1,6. Quantification of protein:DNA interaction/enrichment was determined by the following $2^{ΔΔCt}$:

Primer sequences were as follows: SM α-actin 5-agcagaacagaggaatgcagtggaagagac-3, 5-cctcccactcgcctccaaacaaggac-3; SMMHC 5.-ctgcgcgggaccatatttagtcagggggag-3, 5-ctgggcgggagacaacccaaaaaggccagg-3; c-fos 5-cggttccccccctgcgc tgcaccctcagag-3, 5-aggaacacagggacgccgtggaacactg-3.

**Statistics.** Data are expressed as mean±SE. Statistical significance among treatment groups was confirmed with a 1-way ANOVA when appropriate. Statistical significance between specific groups was determined by a post-hoc multiple comparison Student-Newman-Keuls test ($P<0.05$).

**Supplemental Figure 1.** S1P receptor mRNA profiles in cultured rat aortic SMCs and rat carotid arteries. A, relative S1P receptor mRNA levels in cultured aortic SMCs as determined by quantitative RT-PCR. Cells were serum starved for 72 hrs. Data are normalized to 18S mRNA levels. B, relative S1P receptor mRNA levels in the right carotid artery (N=6). Data are normalized to 18S mRNA levels.

**Supplemental Figure 2.** A, Transcriptional activation of various promoter-reporter constructs in cultured SMCs by S1P. Transiently transfected SMCs were treated with S1P for 24 hours and assayed for luciferase activity. Data are expressed as percent of control (vehicle treated cells) ± SEM. B, SMCs were treated with 100 nM or 1.0 µM S1P for 24 hours and expression of SMα-actin, SMMHC, myocardin, and 18S rRNA mRNA levels were quantified by real-time RT-PCR.

**Supplemental Figure 3.** Overexpression of S1P1 attenuated S1P induction of SMα-actin luciferase whereas overexpression of S1P2 potentiated S1P-induced activation of SMα-actin luciferase activity.

**Supplemental Figure 4.** Dose response to (s)FTY720 in transiently transfected SMCs.
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