Acid Sphingomyelinase Promotes Lipoprotein Retention Within Early Atheromata and Accelerates Lesion Progression

Cecilia M. Devlin, Andrew R. Leventhal, George Kuriakose, Edward H. Schuchman, Kevin Jon Williams, Ira Tabas

Objective—The key initial step in atherogenesis is the subendothelial retention of apolipoprotein B–containing lipoproteins. Acid sphingomyelinase (acid SMase), an enzyme present extracellularly within the arterial wall, strongly enhances lipoprotein retention in model systems in vitro, and retained lipoproteins in human plaques are enriched in ceramide, a product of SMase. We now sought to test a direct causative role for acid SMase in lipoprotein retention and atherogenesis in vivo.

Methods and Results—We studied atherogenesis and lipoprotein retention in Asm−/− versus Asm+/+ mice on the Apoe−/− and Ldlr−/− backgrounds. Asm−/−:Apoe−/− mice had a ∼40% to 50% decrease in early foam cell aortic root lesion area compared with Asm+/+:Apoe−/− mice (P<0.05) despite no difference in plasma cholesterol or lipoproteins. To assay lipoprotein retention in vivo, the two groups of mice were injected with fluorescently labeled Apoe−/− lipoproteins. Early foam cell lesions of Asm−/−:Apoe−/− mice showed a striking 87% reduction in lipoprotein trapping (P<0.0001) compared with Asm+/+:Apoe−/− lesions. Similar results were obtained with Ldlr−/− mice, including an 81% reduction in lipoprotein retention within Asm−/−:Ldlr−/− lesions compared with Asm+/−:Ldlr−/− lesions (P<0.0005).

Conclusions—These findings support a causal role for acid SMase in lipoprotein retention and lesion progression and provides further support for the response-to-retention model of atherogenesis. (Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2008;28:1723-1730)
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The key initial step in early atherogenesis is the retention, or trapping, of apoB-lipoproteins within the subendothelium of focal susceptible regions of the arterial tree.1–3 Retained and modified lipoproteins provoke a series of biological responses that can explain all subsequent features of early atherogenesis.1–2 Lipoprotein retention within prelesional segments initially involves direct binding of positively charged domains on apoB to negatively charged elements of arterial matrix, chiefly proteoglycans.4,5 In later stages, lipoprotein retention can be enhanced further by size-related trapping of large lipoproteins in the subendothelium and by uptake by subendothelial macrophages (below). Moreover, lesional cells secrete additional molecules, such as sphingomyelinase and lipoprotein lipase, that are proposed to shift the molecular basis for further lipoprotein retention while also substantially accelerating retention and hence lesion progression. Thus, understanding the molecular mechanisms of subendothelial lipoprotein retention in prelesional and then lesional arteries is a critical goal of atherogenesis research.

Previous work has suggested a number of factors that can influence subendothelial lipoprotein retention, including (1) the concentration of circulating atherogenic lipoproteins; (2) endothelial permeability; (3) the nature and amounts of proretentive molecules within the subendothelial space, notably proteoglycans and lipoprotein lipase (LpL), which bridges lipoproteins to matrix; and (4) structure and composition of the lipoproteins, which can be altered by enzymatic and nonenzymatic processes within the subendothelial space.1–3 A large number of studies in vitro implicate the secretory form of acid sphingomyelinase (S-SMase) in proretentive modifications of atherogenic lipoproteins. S-SMase arises from the acid SMase (Asm) gene, which also gives rise to lysosomal acid SMase.6 S-SMase is secreted by cell types...
known to be in atherosclerotic lesions, particularly endothelial cells, where secretion is induced by atherogenic inflammatory cytokines.\textsuperscript{7,8} S-SMase hydrolyzes sphingomyelin (SM) to ceramide on the surface of atherosclerotic lipoproteins, which can occur at neutral pH with modified lipoproteins or in the acidic environment of lesion with native lipoproteins.\textsuperscript{9–11} The resulting increase in lipoprotein ceramide content promotes lipoprotein aggregation,\textsuperscript{12} which can promote retention by increasing proteoglycan binding, impairing exit from lesions of large aggregates, or promoting uptake by arterial-wall macrophages, leading to foam cell formation.\textsuperscript{1,2,10,13–15} In addition, S-SMase-induced lipoprotein retention in vitro shows a remarkably robust synergy with LpL.\textsuperscript{15}

Correlative support for a role of S-SMase in atherogenesis per se has been provided by several human and animal atherosclerosis studies. For example, extracellular acid SMase is present in human and murine atherosclerotic lesions,\textsuperscript{16} and aggregated lipoproteins extracted from human atheromata are specifically enriched in ceramide, indicating hydrolysis by sphingomyelinase.\textsuperscript{12} Moreover, recent work has demonstrated an association between high SM content in circulating lipoproteins, which enhances S-SMase–mediated hydrolysis, and increased risk for aortic atherosclerosis in mice and coronary artery disease in humans.\textsuperscript{17,18} In addition, inhibition of sphingomyelin synthesis in mice lowers lipoprotein sphingomyelin content and decreases atherosclerosis.\textsuperscript{19}

Nevertheless, no causal studies to examine the effect of direct genetic manipulation of acid SMase on lipoprotein retention and atherogenesis have appeared. Here we show that acid SMase deficiency in two well-studied hyperlipidemic models of murine atherosclerosis impedes lesion development and, most importantly, causes a striking decrease in arterial trapping of atherogenic lipoproteins. These findings provide important support for the proretentive role of acid SMase in early atherosclerosis and for the response-to-retention model of atherogenesis.

Materials and Methods
Please refer to supplemental materials for additional methods (available online at http://atvb.ahajournals.org).

Mice
Asm\textsuperscript{−/−} mice\textsuperscript{20} were crossed onto either the Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} or Ldlr\textsuperscript{−/−} background (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Me). All of these mice were of the C57BL/6J strain, and none of the alleles are linked. The resulting Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}/Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} mice were mated to obtain the Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}; Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} and Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}/Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} littersmates used for this study. The pups were weaned at 21 days and fed on standard mouse chow until 10 weeks of age, at which point Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} mice have developed atherosclerotic lesions. Similarly, Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}; Ldlr\textsuperscript{−/−} mice were bred to obtain the Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}; Ldlr\textsuperscript{−/−} and Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}; Ldlr\textsuperscript{−/−} littersmates used for this study. These pups were weaned at 21 days and, starting at 6 weeks of age, the mice were fed on the “Western” diet (21% anhydrous milk fat, 0.15% cholesterol; cat. #TD8613, Harlan Teklad) for 12 weeks. The maximum age of Asm\textsuperscript{−/−} mice in this study, 18 weeks, does not allow the development of neurological or other complications.\textsuperscript{20}

Quantification of Subendothelial Lipoprotein Retention
Lipoproteins (d<1.063 g/ml) were fluorescently labeled with Alexa fluor 568 or D:1 and then injected via tail vein (~600 μg/mouse). Eighteen hours after injection, the mice were anesthetized, the cardiac cavity was exposed, and the heart was extensively perfusion-fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. The 18-hour time point assesses lipoprotein retention, because it allows lipoprotein entry into the arterial wall, but then sufficient time for any untrapped lipoproteins to diffuse back out.\textsuperscript{21} The proximal and the thoracic aortas were removed, and frozen sections were prepared as above. The sections were placed on a slide, immersed in polyvinyl alcohol mounting medium with 1,4-diazobicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO; Sigma-Aldrich), sealed with a coverslip and nail polish, and stored at 4°C until analysis. Images were obtained with a Zeiss LSM-510 Meta scanning confocal microscope using a 40× objective. For fluorescence imaging, 543-nm excitation and an LP560 emission filters were used. Transmitted light images were collected using a DIC/brightfield detector. Images of each area were captured at the same laser intensity, gain, and offset to ensure consistency between sections from different mice. One-micron optical sections were obtained for each fluorescent lesional area. The fluorescence intensity and the lesional area were quantitated using ImageJ version 1.38x (NIH; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij). For each optical section, the subendothelial space (with overlying endothelium) was defined as the region of interest (ROI). Brightfield images within the ROI were used to quantify lesion area, and fluorescence images within the ROI were used to quantify intensity from trapped fluorescently labeled lipoproteins. For the fluorescence quantification, we used both unweighted and weighted protocols. For the unweighted analysis, the number of pixels falling within the 40 to 255 gray value range for each optical section was determined and added together to give an overall area of fluorescence. This value was then divided by the lesional area. The weighted analysis was performed by first determining the area of fluorescence within the ROI of each optical section for five fluorescence intensity value ranges: 40 to 84, 85 to 129, 130 to 174, 175 to 219, 220 to 255. These five area measurements were then multiplied by 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, respectively, to give greater weight to areas of highest intensity. These weighted values were then summed for each optical section and divided by the lesional area.

Results
Reduced Aortic Early Foam Cell Lesion Area in Acid SMase-Deficient Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} Mice
As expected, macrophages from Asm\textsuperscript{−/−} mice have close to undetectable acid SMase activity, whereas those from wild-type mice have readily detectable activity (supplemental Figure I). In preparation for atherosclerosis studies, we measured the plasma lipoproteins of 10-week-old chow-fed Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}/Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} and Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}/Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. As shown in supplemental Figure IIA and IIB, total plasma cholesterol and lipoprotein-cholesterol profiles were indistinguishable between the two groups of mice, although the fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) cannot distinguish size differences amongst the large particles that elute immediately after the void volume. The general appearance, behavior, and weights between the two groups of mice were similar (supplemental Figure IIC). These similarities allowed us to assess the hypothesized atherogenic role of acid SMase at the level of the arterial wall. As expected for chow-fed Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} mice of this age,\textsuperscript{22,23} the aortic root lesions of our Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}/Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} showed small but distinct Oil Red O–positive foci in the immediate subendothelial area, consistent with very early foam cell lesions (Figure 1A, left image). In contrast, the aortic roots of the Asm\textsuperscript{−/−}/Apoe\textsuperscript{−/−} mice showed substantially smaller Oil Red O–positive areas (Figure 1A, right image). Quantitative area data from a large number of mice are shown in Figure 1B. There was a statistically significant 40% to 50%
decrease in foam cell lesion area in both female and male Asm−/−; Apoe−/− mice compared with their sex-matched Asm+/+; Apoe−/− littermates. Thus, acid SMase deficiency is associated with a decrease in very early foam cell area. The effect of acid SMase deficiency on more advanced lesions in another model is described below.

Marked Decrease in Lipoprotein Retention Within Aortic Root Lesions of Acid SMase-Deficient Apoe−/− Mice

Previous mechanistic data with S-SMase, a product of the Asm gene, suggested a specific and unique mechanism that could account for the decreased lesion area in Asm−/−; Apoe−/− mice, namely, a decrease in lipoprotein retention.1,2,15 To directly test this hypothesis, mice from each group were injected with Alexa Fluor 568-labeled d<1.063 Apoe−/− lipoproteins, and then the lesions were examined 18 hours later for accumulation of fluorescence.24 In preliminary experiments with a small number of Asm+/+; Apoe−/− mice, we analyzed the lesions by confocal microscopy for both Alexa Fluor 568 fluorescence (lipoproteins; red-orange emission) and for macrophages using an anti-Mac3 primary antibody and an Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibody (green emission). As exemplified in Figure 2A, most of the red-orange fluorescence colocalized with green fluorescence, indicative of lipoprotein trapping either on extracellular matrix closely associated with macrophages, directly on the macrophage cell surface, or after phagocytosis of these lipoproteins by macrophages.

We next quantified total lipoprotein retention by analyzing Alexa Fluor 568 fluorescence in lesions from Asm−/−; Apoe−/− versus Asm+/+; Apoe−/− mice. The fact that atheromata develop properties that amplify subsequent lipoprotein retention23,26 presented a possible confounding factor. Thus, the smaller size of Asm−/−; Apoe−/− lesions (above) might by itself...

Figure 1. Acid SMase deficiency is associated with smaller foam cell lesions in chow-fed Apoe−/− mice. A, Oil Red O–stained aortic root sections from female Asm+/+; Apoe−/− and Asm−/−; Apoe−/− mice. B, Aortic root cross-sectional lesion quantification. *P<0.05.
reduce lipoprotein retention. To overcome this potential bias, we conducted our experiment under conditions in which the two groups of mice had similar lesion areas. We accomplished this goal by comparing \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{−/−};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice with slightly younger \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{+/+};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. In particular, we found that the average lesion area of 12-week-old \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{−/−};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice was statistically identical to that of 8.5-week-old \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{+/+};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. We therefore compared aortic root fluorescence 18 hours after injection of Alexa Fluor 568-labeled lipoproteins into these two groups of mice.

Similar to what was shown in Figure 2A, the aortic root lesions of 8.5-week-old \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{+/+};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice accumulated red/orange fluorescence in subendothelial areas that corresponded to nascent foam cell lesions (Figure 2B). In striking contrast, similar-sized lesions of 12-week-old \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{−/−};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice accumulated very little fluorescence.

For each genotype, 15 separate lesional sites were analyzed, which represents 3 areas per aorta from 5 \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{+/+};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice and 2 to 3 areas per aorta from 6 \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{−/−};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. We used two methods to quantify the fluorescence data (Figure 2C). In the first method (left panel), “unweighted” fluorescence encompassing the entire 40 to 255 gray value range of intensities was quantified as a single end point. In the second method (“weighted”; right panel), the fluorescence intensity of the pixels of each image was classified into 5 categories, and a greater score was given for the higher levels of fluorescence. For each

- **Figure 2.** Acid SMase deficiency is associated with less subendothelial lipoprotein retention in \textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. A, Confocal fluorescence images of an aortic root sections from an 8.5-week/old \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{+/+};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse 18 hours after injection with Alexa Fluor 568 (orange-red)-labeled \textit{d}<1.063 lipoproteins from \textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. The sections were stained for macrophages using a green fluorescent secondary antibody. B, Confocal fluorescence and brightfield images of aortic root sections from an 8.5-week/old \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{+/+};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse and a 12-week/old \textit{Asm}\textsuperscript{−/−};\textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse. C, Quantification of Alexa Fluor 568 and Dil fluorescence intensity. For the Dil study, mice were injected with Dil-labeled \textit{d}<1.063 lipoproteins from \textit{Apoe}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. *\textit{P}<10\textsuperscript{−7} for Alexa and <0.005 for Dil.
unlabeled lipoproteins (supplemental Figure IIIA), these Alexa-labeled lipoproteins showed a slight increase in electronegativity as assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis (supplemental Figure IIIB). We therefore repeated the in vivo retention experiment using d<1.063 Apoe−/− lipoproteins labeled with DiI, which tags lipoprotein lipid instead of apolipoproteins, the component labeled by Alex Fluor 568. As shown in the supplementary figure, this method of labeling did not alter the electrophoretic mobility of the lipoproteins. Using DiI-labeled lipoproteins, we found similar results to that obtained above with Alexa-labeled material: 76% decrease in the retained lipoproteins using the weighted method, P=0.004 (Figure 2C, last two graphs).

To evaluate the specificity of these striking differences in lipoprotein retention within aortic root lesions, we carried out a series of additional analyses and experiments. To assess focality, we examined the thoracic aorta, which is resistant to foam cell lesions in these young, chow-fed mice.22,23 We found that there was no detectable fluorescence in either group in this site (data not shown). Similarly, we found no difference in fluorescence accumulation in the spleens of the two groups of mice (ratio of fluorescence area:total imaged area was 0.37±0.11 for Asm−/−;Apoe−/− spleen and 0.41±0.10 for Asm−/−;Apoe−/− spleen; P=0.40). Thus, the site of differential lipoprotein retention correlated with the focal site of atherogenesis. We next considered the unlikely possibility that the fluorescent lipoproteins in the Asm−/−; Apoe−/− mice were being rapidly and extensively removed from the plasma soon after injection, eg, into other organs or excretory routes, before having access to the aortic root. To evaluate this possibility, we measured plasma fluorescence at various intervals from the time of injection until the time of lesion analysis. We found no significant difference in the removal of fluorescence from plasma between the two groups of mice (data not shown). Thus, the data in Figure 2 indicate a true decrease in subendothelial lipoprotein retention in aortic root lesions of Asm−/−;Apoe−/− mice.

Marked Decrease Lesion Size in Lipoprotein Retention in Aortic Root Lesions of Acid SMase-Deficient Ldlr−/− Mice

To determine whether acid SMase deficiency results in decreased lipoprotein retention in another model of early atherogenesis, we undertook a similar study in the LDL receptor-deficient model of murine atherosclerosis. For this study, Asm+/+;Ldlr−/− and Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− mice were fed on a Western-type diet for 12 weeks. There were no differences in general appearance or behavior between the two groups, and the weights of the mice were not statistically different (supplemental Figure IV). Total plasma cholesterol was approximately 25% lower in the Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− mice (Figure 3A). As can be seen from the lipoprotein profile (inset in Figure 3A), the difference in the cholesterol values between the two groups was attributable mostly to differences in a peak that corresponds to large lipoproteins, ie, VLDL or chyomicrons. Note that the levels of LDL and HDL appeared similar in the two groups of mice. The SM content of d<1.063 plasma lipoproteins from the two groups of mice were not statistically different: 0.04±0.01 versus 0.06±0.02 μg SM/μg cholesterol in Asm+/+;Ldlr−/− and Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− mice, respectively (P=0.17). This finding is consistent with the concept that acid SMase hydrolyzes lipoproteins in the arterial wall, where subendothelial lipoprotein modifications and acidic pH likely promote lipoprotein-SM hydrolysis.12 Moreover, analysis of apolipoproteins by SDS-PAGE and of lipoprotein charge by native gel electrophoresis of d<1.063 plasma lipoproteins from the two groups of mice revealed no marked differences (supplemental Figure V).

Aortic root lesion area was approximately 50% lower in Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− mice of both sexes (Figure 3B, first two graphs). We considered the possibility that this difference could be attributable to the lower plasma cholesterol in the Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− mice. Close inspection of cholesterol and lesion values for individual mice, however, revealed a poor correlation between variations in plasma cholesterol and variation in lesion area within each genotype, ie, individual mice at the higher end of the plasma cholesterol distribution within each genotype did not necessarily have the largest lesions, nor vice versa. Moreover, a number of mice in the Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− group had plasma cholesterol values that were similar to those in the Asm+/+;Ldlr−/− group. We therefore conducted a subgroup analysis of lesion area in mice with statistically indistinguishable mean values of cholesterol (12.1±0.70 in Asm+/+ versus 12.0±0.72 in Asm−/−, n=8 per genotype). As shown in the right graph of Figure 3B, the lesion area of the Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− mice in this subgroup was 55% smaller than that of the Asm+/+;Ldlr−/− mice (P=0.001). This analysis suggests that the smaller lesion area in Asm−/−; Ldlr−/− mice cannot be explained at all by somewhat lower plasma cholesterol concentration in these mice. Moreover, because the lesions in this experiment were approximately 10-fold larger than those in the previous experiment with young, chow-fed Apoe−/− mice, the data indicate that acid SMase plays a role in lesion development beyond the very early foam cell stage.

To assess lipoprotein retention in the Ldlr−/− model, we again focused on very early lesions and on lesions of similar size between the two ASM genotypes to avoid the potential confounding issue of amplified lipoprotein retention within advanced lesions (above). Thus, Alex Fluor 568-labeled d<1.063 lipoproteins from Ldlr−/− mice were injected into Asm+/+;Ldlr−/− mice after 3 weeks on the Western diet and into Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− mice after 6 weeks on the Western diet. This protocol produced lesions of similar size between the 2 genotypes, and mean lesion area in these mice was similar to that in the Apoe−/− study (above). As shown by representative confocal images in the left panels of Figure 4A, Ldlr−/− lesions showed ample retention of the Alexa Fluor568-labeled LDL. When these lesions were costained for perlecan, an arterial-wall proteoglycan implicated in lipoprotein retention mice,1–3 there was approximately 20% colocalization between the LDL (red) and perlecan (green) fluorescence (supplemental Figure VI). Most importantly, analysis of Asm+/+;Ldlr−/− lesions for labeled LDL fluorescence showed a striking reduction compared with that seen in Asm+/+; Ldlr−/− lesions (right panels of Figure 4A). The unweighted and weighted quantified data revealed an approximately 80% reduction in the acid SMase-deficient lesions (P<0.001 for
both analysis; Figure 4B). The percent LDL-perlecan colocalization was similar in the two groups of mice (data not shown), and so the absolute amount of perlecan-associated labeled LDL was also \( \approx 80\% \) reduced in the Asm\(^{+/+}\);Ldlr\(^{-/-}\) mice (n=6, 9, 9, and 8 mice, respectively). \( *P<0.05 \). Inset, FPLC lipoprotein profile for male mice. B, The left (female) and middle (male) graphs show the average aortic root lesion areas in Asm\(^{+/+}\);Ldlr\(^{-/-}\) and Asm\(^{-/-}\);Ldlr\(^{-/-}\) mice (n=16 per genotype). The right graph shows a subgroup analysis of lesion area in male and female mice with statistically identical mean values of cholesterol (n=8 per genotype). \( *P<0.001 \).

### Discussion

Given the critical role of subendothelial lipoprotein retention in the initiation of atherogenesis,\(^1,2\) identifying individual molecules that affect this process in vivo is an important goal in atherosclerosis research. Börén and colleagues\(^3\) showed decreased lipoprotein retention in early lesions of mice expressing an apolipoprotein B100 transgene in which a key proteoglycan-binding region was mutated by genetic engineering. This mode of LDL retention appears to be enhanced in the setting of elevated levels of angiotensin II, which increases the arterial content of proretentive proteoglycans and promotes atherogenesis.\(^25\) Importantly, Börén and colleagues also showed that lipoprotein retention was decreased in advanced lesions in apolipoprotein B100 transgenic mice lacking lipoprotein lipase, which can nonenzymatically mediate the binding of lipoproteins to subendothelial matrix molecules.\(^26\) These data suggest a model in which lipoprotein retention in prelesional, susceptible sites of the arterial wall is dominated by a specific apolipoprotein B-proteoglycan interaction, whereas LpL bridging becomes a more dominant process in lipoprotein retention once lesions start to become established. LpL is secreted by macrophages, which likely explains the role of LpL in retention in established lesions, ie, after macrophage foam cells accumulate in the subendothelial space. In this regard, early atherosclerotic lesions also develop activated endothelium, which is an important source of S-SMase.\(^7\) Thus, in view of the role of acid SMase in lipoprotein retention in foam cell lesions shown here and our previous work showing synergy between LpL and S-SMase in lipoprotein-matrix interaction and lipoprotein uptake by macrophages,\(^15\) the
combined appearance of LpL and S-SMase once lesions develop may provide a molecular explanation for the fact that lipoprotein retention is greatly accelerated in lesions versus susceptible prelesional sites.21

Previous work in vitro has suggested plausible hypotheses on the mechanisms by which lipoprotein retention and atherogenesis are decreased in Asm−/− lesions. In particular, secretory acid SMase induces lipoprotein aggregation, which can promote subendothelial retention by enhanced uptake by macrophages and by decreased arterial-wall exit of large lipoprotein aggregates.10,14,15 Moreover, sphingomyelinase treatment of apoB-lipoproteins increases their affinity for subendothelial matrix.14,15 Regarding this latter point, we estimate that there was ≈80% less LDL associated with perlecan in Asm−/−;Ldlr−/− lesions compared with Asm+/+;Ldlr−/− lesions based on combining the overall LDL retention data with the LDL-perlecan colocalization data. However, a substantial portion of the labeled LDL did not colocalize with perlecan, suggesting association with other matrix molecules or uptake by macrophages. The latter scenario is consistent with our data showing close association between labeled LDL and macrophages in the ApoE−/− lesions. Finally, it is formally possible that the absence of lysosomal acid SMase in our model could have contributed to the decrease in atherogenesis through an effect independent of lipoprotein retention. However, it might have actually dampened our results, because the absence of lysosomal acid SMase in cholesterol-loaded macrophages decreases cholesterol efflux from these cells.28

The results herein provide the first molecular genetic causation evidence in support of a growing body of literature...
implicating acid SMase and sphingomyelin in atherogenesis and coronary artery disease in animal models and humans. Translation of this information into therapy, however, would have to take into account the fact that acid SMase deficiency in humans, which affects both the secreted and lysosomal forms of the enzyme, is associated with low HDL and elevated LDL in the plasma. Assuming this phenomenon reflects the effect of acid SMase deficiency in one or more nonarterial wall sites, therapy would have to be based on focal inhibition of the enzyme in the arterial wall, but presumably only its secreted form. Another approach would be to follow the lead of a number of reports showing that treatment of mice with an inhibitor of sphingomyelin synthesis, which decreases the SM content of lipoproteins and thus their susceptibility to acid SMase-mediated hydrolysis, suppresses lesion development. Finally, to the extent that the study here adds support to the link between lipoprotein retention and atherogenesis, there may be promise for other therapeutic strategies directed against the interaction of apoB-containing lipoproteins with subendothelial matrix molecules.
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Supplementary Materials and Methods

Acid SMase Activity Assay
Concanavalin A-elicited macrophages were assayed for acid SMase activity using [choline-methyl-14C] sphingomyelin as substrate as previously described.1

Plasma Lipoprotein Analysis and Aortic Root Atherosclerosis Assay
Mice were fasted for 16 h prior to euthanasia, at which point they were anesthetized with isoflurane and exsanguinated through cardiac puncture. Total plasma cholesterol concentrations were determined using enzymatic kits from Wako Chemicals GmbH (Neuss, Germany). Plasma high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentration was determined after dextran sulfate-Mg2+ precipitation of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins. Plasma lipoproteins were also analyzed using a fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) system equipped with two Superose 6 columns connected in series (Amersham Pharmacia). The cholesterol content of each fraction was measured by enzymatic assay. For lesion analysis, the heart and aorta were removed, perfused with PBS, embedded in OCT compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA), snap-frozen in an ethanol-dry ice bath, and stored at -70°C. Ten-micron sections were prepared at -20°C using a Microm microtome cryostat HM505E (Walldorf, Germany). Starting from the aortic
valve leaflets, every eighth section was used for analysis for a total distance of ~400 µm. To
evaluate lesion area, the sections were stained with Oil Red O for neutral lipid and with Harris
hematoxylin for nuclei. Stained lesions were viewed with a Nikon Labophot-2 microscope
equipped with a Sony CCD-Iris/RGB color video camera. Lesion area was quantified using a
computerized imaging system and IMAGE-PRO PLUS 4.5.1.29 software. The mean Oil Red O-
positive area per section from six sections was determined in a blinded fashion for individual
animals.

**Fluorescent Labeling of Lipoproteins**

Lipoproteins of \(d<1.063\) were isolated by preparative ultracentrifugation of plasma from either
chow-fed \(Apoe^{-/-}\) mice or Western diet-fed \(Ldlr^{-/-}\) mice. The lipoproteins were labeled with
Alexa Fluor 568 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
Labeled lipoproteins were separated from unincorporated dye by gel filtration chromatography
using a Bio Gel P-30 column and then dialysis at 4°C against 150 mM NaCl, 0.3 mM EDTA, pH
7.4. For an additional experiment, \(d<1.063\) lipoproteins from \(Apoe^{-/-}\) mice were labeled with
1,1´-dioctadecyl-3,3,3´,3´-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI) (Molecular Probes) by
the method of Pitas et al.\(^2\) Labeled lipoproteins were stored at 4°C under argon gas and used
within 2 weeks of preparation.

**Co-localization of retained lipoproteins with macrophages and perlecan**

Frozen sections (10-µm thick) of the aortic root were fixed for 10 min in 4% paraformaldehyde
in PBS and then blocked for 1 h in 10% normal goat serum in PBS at room temperature. Sections
were incubated for 1 h in 1.2 µg rat anti-mouse Mac-3 antibody/ml (BD Biosciences, San Jose,
CA) or rat anti-mouse perlecan antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Fremont, CA) in
blocking solution at room temperature. After the sections were washed repeatedly in PBS, they
were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with 4 µg Alexa Fluor 488-labeled goat anti-rat IgG
per ml blocking solution. The sections were then washed with PBS and mounted with the anti-
fading reagent, ProLong Gold. Images were obtained with a Zeiss LSM-510 Meta scanning
confocal microscope using a 40x objective. Retained lipoproteins were visualized by 543-nm
excitation and a BP560-615 emission filter. The presence of macrophages was detected by 488-
nm excitation and a BP505-550 emission filter.
Statistical Analysis

Data are reported as means ± SEM. Numbers of samples are listed in the figure legends. Differences between groups were analyzed for statistical significance by the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test, which is valid for both normally and non-normally distributed data.
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Supplementary Figure Legends

Figure I. Acid SMase activity in macrophages from wild-type and Asm-/- mice. Peritoneal macrophages from Asm+/+ wild-type mice and from Asm-/− mice were harvested and assayed for acid SMase activity as described in Materials and Methods.

Figure II. Acid SMase deficiency does not affect plasma cholesterol or lipoprotein profile in chow-fed Apoe-/− mice. A, Total plasma cholesterol values and FPLC lipoprotein profiles for littermate female Asm+/+;Apoe-/− (n = 10) and Asm-/−;Apoe-/− (n = 15) mice. B, As in A, for male mice, where n = 9 for Asm+/+;Apoe-/− mice and n = 14 for Asm-/−;Apoe-/− mice. None of the differences between groups were statistically significant. C, Body weights of the mice described in A and B. The differences between the two genotypes within each sex group were not statistically significant.

Figure III. SDS-PAGE and agarose gels of d<1.063 unlabeled and labeled lipoproteins from Apoe-/− mice. Lipoproteins (d<1.063) from the plasma of Apoe-/− mice were labeled as described in Materials and Methods and analyzed by SDS-PAGE (A) and agarose gel electrophoresis (B). The SDS-PAGE gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. The agarose gel electrophoresis was run in sodium barbital buffer and stained with Sudan red 7B.

Figure IV. Body weights of Asm+/+;Ldlr-/− and Asm-/−;Ldlr-/− mice. The differences between the two genotypes within each sex group were not statistically significant.

Figure V. SDS-PAGE and agarose gels of d<1.063 unlabeled lipoproteins from Asm+/+;Ldlr-/− and Asm-/−;Ldlr-/− mice. Lipoproteins (d<1.063) from the plasma of Asm+/+;Ldlr-/− and Asm-/−;Ldlr-/− mice were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (A) and agarose gel electrophoresis (B). In A, the samples delineated by the brackets were run in duplicate. The SDS-PAGE gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. The agarose gel electrophoresis was run in sodium barbital buffer and stained with Sudan red 7B.
Figure VI. Partial co-location of lesional LDL with perlecan in Ldlr-/- lesions. A lesional section from an Alexa Fluor 568-labeled LDL-perfused Ldlr-/- mouse from the same experiment shown in Fig. 5 was stained with anti-perlecan antibody and Alexa Fluor 488-labeled (green) secondary antibody. The section was viewed for Alexa 488 (perlecan), Alexa 568 (LDL), and phase. Another section stained with non-immune rat IgG2a antibody (control for the anti-perlecan antibody) showed no green signal.
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