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Regular physical activity using large muscle groups, such as walking, running, or swimming, produces cardiovascular adaptations that increase exercise capacity, endurance, and skeletal muscle strength. Habitual physical activity also prevents the development of coronary artery disease (CAD) and reduces symptoms in patients with established cardiovascular disease. There is also evidence that exercise reduces the risk of other chronic diseases, including type 2 diabetes,1 osteoporosis,2 obesity,3 depression,4 and cancer of the breast5 and colon.6 This American Heart Association (AHA) Scientific Statement for health professionals summarizes the evidence for the benefits of physical activity in the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease, provides suggestions to healthcare professionals for implementing physical activity programs for their patients, and identifies areas for future investigation. This statement focuses on aerobic physical activity and does not directly evaluate resistance exercises, such as weight lifting, because most of the research linking physical activity and cardiovascular disease has evaluated aerobic activity. Whenever possible, the writing group has cited summary articles or meta-analyses to support conclusions and recommendations. This evidence supports the recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) that individuals should engage in 30 minutes or more of moderate-intensity physical activity on most (preferably all) days of the week.7

Definition of Terms

Physical activity is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure beyond resting expenditure. Exercise is a subset of physical activity that is planned, structured, repetitive, and purposeful in the sense that improvement or maintenance of physical fitness is the objective. Physical fitness includes cardiorespiratory fitness, muscle strength, body composition, and flexibility, comprising a set of attributes that people have or achieve that relates to the ability to perform physical activity. When defining the amount of physical activity or exercise, an important interrelationship exists between the total dose of activity and the intensity at which the activity is performed. Dose refers to the total amount of energy expended in physical activity, whereas intensity reflects the rate of energy expenditure during such activity.7 Intensity can be defined in absolute or relative terms. Absolute intensity reflects the rate of energy expenditure during exercise and is usually expressed in metabolic equivalents or METs, where 1 MET equals the resting metabolic rate of \( \approx 3.5 \text{ mL O}_2 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \). Relative intensity refers to the percent of aerobic power utilized during exercise and is expressed as percent of maximal heart rate or percent of \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \). Moderate-intensity activities are those performed at a relative intensity of 40% to 60% of \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \) (or absolute intensity of 4 to 6 METs). Vigorous-intensity activities are those performed at a relative intensity of
intensity of &gt;60% of VO₂max (or absolute intensity of &gt;6 METs). For example, brisk walking at 4.8 km · h⁻¹ (3 miles · h⁻¹) has an absolute intensity of &gt;4 METs. In relative terms, this intensity is considered light for a 20-year-old healthy person but represents a vigorous intensity for an 80-year-old person.¹⁸

**Prevention of Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease**

During the past half-century, prospective epidemiological studies of occupational and leisure-time physical activity have consistently documented a reduced incidence of CAD events in the more physically active³⁶ and fit¹⁰ subjects. More recent studies have provided similar data by using measures of exercise capacity such as treadmill performance as an indicator of habitual physical activity. The data satisfy the criteria required to infer a causal relationship from epidemiological evidence.¹¹,¹² The results are strong, with the most physically active subjects generally demonstrating CAD rates half those of the most sedentary group. The data demonstrate a graded relationship of decreasing CAD rates with increasing levels of activity. Multiple studies were prospective and thereby demonstrate appropriate sequencing because the lower physical activity levels preceded the development of CAD rather than resulted from the disease itself. The results are consistent with all published studies documenting lower CAD rates in the more active subjects, although the relationship did not reach statistical significance in some individual reports. In many studies, the lower frequency of CAD was independent of other known atherosclerotic risk factors. The results are also plausible and coherent with evidence demonstrating beneficial effects of exercise on atherosclerotic risk factors, myocardial function, coronary artery size and vaso-dilatory capacity, vascular tone, and vulnerability to ventricular fibrillation. Available data do not provide clear evidence that withdrawal of physical activity increases CAD risk, although results from the Harvard Alumni Study suggest that college athletic activity is not protective in later years without lifelong physical activity.¹³ Studies using the best designs and measurement instruments tend to show the strongest relationships between physical activity and decreased CAD events, probably because superior assessment techniques limit inaccuracies in categorizing physical activity levels.¹⁰ For example, studies on cardiorespiratory fitness, measured as treadmill performance times, and CAD show stronger associations than are seen in activity studies, probably because of the better classification by objective measures of fitness in comparison to the relatively imprecise assessments of self-reported physical activity.¹⁰ These epidemiological studies, combined with studies providing biological plausibility, provide conclusive evidence that physical activity reduces the incidence of CAD.

**Reduction of Atherosclerotic Risk Factors**

Physical activity both prevents and helps treat many established atherosclerotic risk factors, including elevated blood pressure, insulin resistance and glucose intolerance, elevated triglyceride concentrations, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) concentrations, and obesity. Exercise in combination with weight reduction can decrease low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) concentrations and limit the reduction in HDL-C that often occurs with a reduction in dietary saturated fat.¹⁴

The magnitude of the exercise effect is influenced by characteristics of the exercise intervention, individual variation, and whether exercise produces concomitant reductions in body weight. The effect on atherosclerotic risk factors can be large in some individuals and obviate the need for other interventions. In general, the effect of exercise on atherosclerotic risk factors is substantially less than that achieved by pharmacological therapies, although the exercise effect can be significantly magnified by other lifestyle changes such as changes in dietary composition and weight loss.

A meta-analysis of 52 exercise training trials of &gt;12 weeks’ duration including 4700 subjects demonstrated an average increase in HDL-C levels of 4.6% and reductions in triglyceride and LDL-C concentrations of 3.7% and 5.0%, respectively.¹⁵,¹⁶ The largest and most carefully controlled exercise trial, the HEath, RIsk factors, exercise Training, And GEnetics (HERITAGE) study, included 675 normolipemic subjects who participated in 5 months of exercise training.¹⁷ HDL-C increased 1.1 mg/dl (3%) among the 299 men studied, whereas triglycerides and LDL-C decreased 5.9 and 0.9 mg/dl or 2.7% and 0.8%, respectively. HDL-C among the 376 women increased 1.4 mg/dl (3%), and triglycerides and LDL-C decreased 0.6 and 4.4 mg/dl or 0.6% and 4%, respectively. Greater increases in HDL-C may occur in individuals with baseline hypertriglyceridemia,¹⁸ but few studies have addressed the effect of exercise in subjects with lipid disorders.

At least 44 randomized controlled trials including 2674 participants have studied the effect of exercise training on resting blood pressure.¹⁹ The average reduction in systolic and diastolic blood pressure was 3.4 and 2.4 mm Hg, respectively. Baseline blood pressure was an important determinant of the exercise effect. Average systolic and diastolic blood pressures decreased 2.6 and 1.8 mm Hg in normotensive subjects and 7.4 and 5.8 mm Hg in hypertensive subjects, respectively, suggesting that exercise may serve as the only therapy required in some mildly hypertensive subjects. There was no relationship between the weekly training frequency, time per session, or intensity of exercise training and the magnitude of the blood pressure reduction, which suggests that the dose-response curve for exercise and blood pressure is flat.

Physical activity also reduces insulin resistance and glucose intolerance, postprandial hyperglycemia, and possibly hepatic glucose output.²⁰ A review of 9 trials examining the effect of exercise training in 337 patients with type II diabetes reported an average reduction of hemoglobin (Hgb) A1c of 0.5% to 1%.²⁰ These findings may underestimate the absolute decrease in Hgb A1C because of concomitant reductions in diabetic medications. The Diabetes Prevention Program demonstrated the powerful effect that physical activity and weight loss can exert in preventing the onset of type II diabetes in individuals at high risk for this disease.¹ Compared with usual care, there was a 58% reduction in the onset of type II diabetes over 2.8 years among individuals randomized to a lifestyle intervention that produced an average 4-kg decrease.
in body weight and an 8-MET-h/wk increase in physical activity. The latter corresponds to an additional 593 kcal of energy expenditure weekly or ~6 miles of walking for a 70-kg individual. The lifestyle intervention was also significantly more powerful than metformin (850 mg BID), which reduced the onset of type II diabetes by 31%.

Physical activity is also an important adjunct to diet for achieving and maintaining weight loss. The National Weight Control Registry enrolled 3000 individuals who lost >10% of their body weight and maintained this weight loss for at least 1 year. The average weight loss of 30 kg was maintained for an average of 5.5 years. Eighty-one percent of the registrants reported increased physical activity. Women and men, respectively, reported expending 2445 and 3298 kcal weekly in such activities as walking, cycling, weight lifting, aerobics, running, and stair climbing.

At least 8 studies have examined the adjunctive effect of exercise on smoking cessation, but most trials were small and the results not subjected to meta-analysis. One larger trial randomly assigned 281 healthy women to a 12-week behavior modification program and thrice-weekly sessions of supervised vigorous exercise or to an identical behavioral program with thrice-weekly health education sessions. Of the exercisers, 19.4% achieved at least 2 months of continuous abstinence at the end of the 12-week intervention, compared with 10.2% of the controls. At 12-month follow-up, 11.9% of the exercisers and 5.4% of the controls remained continuously abstinent. Such results are preliminary, but they suggest that physical activity facilitates long-term smoking cessation by increasing the initial quit rate.

Some of the effect of physical activity on cardiovascular risk factors is an acute effect of recent exercise and is not dependent on prolonged exercise training or improvement in fitness. Serum triglycerides are reduced by vigorous exercise for up to 72 hours. HDL-C is also transiently increased by exercise. Vigorous exercise also acutely reduces systolic blood pressure, and this effect may persist for up to 12 hours. Exercise also has favorable acute effects on glucose homeostasis. These acute effects provide additional support for the recommendations from the CDC and the ACSM that adults should participate in moderate-intensity physical activity on most, if not all, days of the week.

**Treatment for Patients With Diagnosed CAD**

Several meta-analyses have concluded that comprehensive, exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation reduces mortality rates in patients after myocardial infarction. The most recent and comprehensive meta-analysis identified 51 randomized controlled trials of exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation. All studies were published before January 1, 1999, and included 8440 patients who were primarily middle-aged, low-risk men. Patients were included if they had sustained a myocardial infarction, had undergone coronary artery bypass grafting or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, had angina pectoris, or had CAD identified by angiography. Supervised exercise training in these programs was generally of 2 to 6 months’ duration followed by unsupervised exercise. The mean follow-up was 2.4 years. Results were analyzed according to whether the cardiac rehabilitation program consisted of exercise only or also included psychosocial and/or educational interventions. Total mortality was reduced 27% (P<0.05) with the exercise-only intervention and 13% (P=NS) with comprehensive rehabilitation (Table). Cardiac mortality was reduced 31% (P<0.05) and 26% (P<0.05) for the exercise-only and comprehensive programs, respectively. Neither the exercise-only program nor the comprehensive intervention significantly reduced the rate of nonfatal myocardial infarction. Additionally, rates of sudden cardiac death were not reduced, although only 4 exercise-only and 14 comprehensive rehabilitation trials analyzed this outcome. Similarly, only 5 exercise-only trials and 10 comprehensive trials examined the rate of coronary artery bypass grafting.

This review adds ~4000 patients to the prior, widely cited meta-analyses of cardiac rehabilitation and confirms that exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation reduces cardiac mortality but does not reduce the risk of recurrent myocardial infarction. In contrast to the prior analyses, however, these recent results suggest that the exercise component is a critical aspect of the rehabilitation process. The reduction in death without a reduction in nonfatal reinfarction raises the possibility that exercise training enhanced electrical stability and reduced ventricular fibrillation or reduced myocardial damage directly or via such factors as ischemic preconditioning.

Many of the studies in this meta-analysis preceded most of the present treatments for CAD patients, including wide-
spread use of acute thrombolytic therapy, primary angioplasty, aggressive revascularization, β-adrenergic blockers, aggressive lipid management, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. The smaller effect of comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation in this review may also reflect the absence of more powerful interventions to lower cardiovascular risk, such as newer lipid-lowering agents. Thus, it is not clear that exercise therapy after myocardial infarction would have as great an impact on mortality rates in the present era of cardiovascular disease management. Similarly, the effects of medical treatment may be overestimated in medical intervention trials not involving exercise.

Exercise training is also useful for patients with angina pectoris who are not candidates for revascularization therapy because of disease not amenable to intervention, angiographically noncritical stenoses, or patient preference. Early studies demonstrated that the symptomatic improvement in exercise tolerance after exercise training was due primarily to a reduction in the heart rate and systolic blood pressure or rate-pressure product (RPP) at submaximal workloads with no change in the RPP at the onset of angina. Subsequent reports also demonstrated an increase in the RPP at the onset of angina as well as a reduction in the ischemic response measured as angina, ST-segment depression, or nuclear and positron-emission tomography scanning perfusion defects at a given RPP, which suggests that exercise training improved myocardial oxygen delivery. In one study, the increase in RPP at the onset of angina occurred only during exercise, whereas the RPP at the onset of angina with ventricular pacing was unchanged. These findings suggest that exercise training altered the coronary vasomotor response to exercise. This hypothesis is supported by recent angiographic studies documenting that exercise training reduces the abnormal vasoconstrictive response to acetylcholine in patients with CAD and documented endothelial dysfunction.

The aforementioned studies support the use of physical activity to improve exercise capacity after coronary revascularization procedures, including coronary bypass surgery and percutaneous interventions. No large studies have directly addressed the utility of exercise in this group, although there are encouraging data. The Exercise Training Intervention after Coronary Angioplasty (ETICA) trial randomized 118 consecutive patients to 6 months of exercise training or control. Angiographic restenosis was not affected by exercise training, but trained patients demonstrated significant increases in peak VO₂ (26%) and quality-of-life parameters (27%). Furthermore, during 33±7 months of follow-up, the exercise-trained patients had fewer cardiac events (11.9% versus 32.2%) and hospital readmissions (18.6% versus 46%) than subjects assigned to usual care. Residual coronary stenosis and recurrent cardiac events were reduced by 30% and 29%, respectively, in the trained subjects.

**Treatment for Patients With Heart Failure**

Activity restriction was recommended for heart failure (HF) patients until the late 1970s and 1980s, when it was recognized that exercise capacity in patients with left ventricular dysfunction could not be predicted by such parameters as intracardiac filling pressures and left ventricular ejection fraction. Numerous trials have demonstrated that both exercise testing and training of patients with HF appear to be safe. A detailed discussion of exercise and HF is presented in the AHA Statement on Exercise and Heart Failure. Exercise training benefits HF in several ways. The mean increase in peak VO₂ in 15 randomized controlled trials of exercise training that included 426 HF patients was 20.5%. The frequency, duration, and intensity varied among the trials, but all showed an increase in the average peak VO₂ between 12% and 31%. In addition to improving exercise capacity, exercise training in HF patients has been shown to improve cardiac output at maximal workloads, improve mitochondrial size and density, increase skeletal muscle oxidative enzymes, reduce endothelial dysfunction, and decrease circulating catecholamines. Exercise training has also been shown to improve quality of life in both men and women with moderate, chronic HF. Whether these physiological adaptations will ultimately reduce mortality and morbidity is yet to be determined by a large prospective trial, although there is at least one small trial which showed a reduction in hospitalizations and improved 1-year survival. A prospective large randomized trial, Heart Failure—A Controlled Trial Investigating Outcomes of exercise training (HF-ACTION), has been funded by the National Institutes of Health. This trial proposes to randomize HF patients to a formal exercise-training program or usual care with the primary end points of all-cause mortality and all-cause hospitalizations.
Exercise Training for Older Patients With CAD

Older patients constitute a high percentage of those with diagnosed CAD and are at high risk for disability after a coronary event. Studies of exercise rehabilitation in patients >65 years of age have evaluated outcomes in the elderly patients with coronary disease. Older patients with CAD have exercise trainability comparable to that of younger patients participating in similar exercise rehabilitation, with elderly male and female patients showing comparable improvement.45–47 However, referral to and participation in exercise rehabilitation is less frequent for older patients, especially for older women.48 No significant complications or adverse outcomes of exercise training in older patients have been described in any study. Consequently, older patients of both genders should be strongly encouraged to participate in exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation.

Whether exercise training is associated with a reduction of morbidity or mortality in the elderly CAD patient is controversial, although in the British Regional Heart Study, men with CAD and a mean age of 63 years who engaged in light-to-moderate physical activity had a significantly lower all-cause mortality over the 5-year follow-up than did their sedentary counterparts.49

Risks of Physical Activity

Physical activity and exercise training have risks that must be considered when recommending regular physical activity for the general population and for individuals with cardiovascular disease. Fortunately, several strategies are recognized as effective at reducing risk when recommending physical activity. Overall, available information suggests that physical activity in the range recommended by recent public health guidelines, such as the CDC/ACSM guideline,7 has quite an acceptable risk-to-benefit ratio. The most common risk of physical activity in adults is musculoskeletal injury.50,51 The incidence of injury can be as high as 55% in 8 weeks among women undergoing US Army basic training.52 In contrast, injuries are rare in research studies of supervised exercise training among older adults when individuals at high risk of injury are excluded.53 In a cohort study of community adults aged 20 to 85 years with above-average activity levels, ~25% reported a musculoskeletal injury over 1 year,50 and one third of injured adults stopped exercising. Several factors affecting injury risk are modifiable and offer opportunities for risk management. Risk of injury increases with obesity,51 volume of exercise,50 and participation in vigorous exercise such as competitive sports,54 whereas higher fitness,50 supervision, stretching exercises, protective equipment such as bike helmets, and well-designed environments protect against injury. The general principle that the volume of physical activity should be increased gradually over time is widely regarded as critical for reducing injury risk.7 Walking, the most popular activity and the standard example of a moderate-intensity activity, is a low-risk activity. One study reported that increasing the duration of walking did not result in any increase in injury risk.50 In some situations, regular physical activity actually reduces injury risk, as indicated by a recent consensus statement that physical activity is recommended to reduce the risk of fall injuries in older adults.55

Vigorous physical activity acutely increases the risk of sudden cardiac death56,57 and myocardial infarction58,59 among individuals with both diagnosed and occult heart disease. A variety of congenital and acquired conditions such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, coronary artery anomalies, aortic stenosis, and cardiomyopathies are associated with sudden death during vigorous activity in children and young adults.60,61 Recommendations for athletic screening and participation for these conditions have been presented in the AHA Statement on Cardiovascular Preparticipation Screening of Competitive Athletes.62 The incidence of sudden death during exercise is not well defined in this age group. Among high school and college athletes, an estimated 1 death per year occurs during or within an hour after athletic activity for every 133 333 male and 769 230 female participants.60 Atherosclerotic CAD is the overwhelming cause of exercise-related deaths in adults.56,57 The incidence has been estimated to be 1 exertion-related death per year for every 15 00066 to 18 00057 ostensibly healthy men. These incidence rates are based on only 1056 and 957 exercise-related deaths, which gives these figures wide confidence limits. A preliminary report from a 3-million-member national fitness facility noted 61 male and 10 female deaths over 2 years and calculated incidence rate of 1 death per 82 000 members per year or 2.6 million workouts.63 Although the absolute incidence of sudden death associated with exercise is quite low, exercise does acutely increase the rate of sudden death compared with more leisurely activities.56,57 Exercise also transiently increases the risk of acute myocardial infarction.58,59 Approximately 5% to 10% of myocardial infarctions are associated with vigorous physical activity. The relative risk of both exercise-related myocardial infarction and sudden death is greatest in individuals who are the least physically active and who were performing unaccustomed vigorous physical activity.57–59

Sedentary adults should avoid isolated bouts of unaccustomed vigorous physical activity and should follow the standard recommendation to increase physical activity levels gradually over time. The possible value of this strategy is supported by the observation that the risk of exercise-related cardiac events is greatest in the least active individuals.57–59

Because atherosclerotic CAD is the most frequent cause of exercise-related events, the incidence of such events is higher among patients with known atherosclerotic coronary disease. Among participants in cardiac rehabilitation programs, the average incidence of cardiac arrest, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and death is ~1 for every 117 000, 220 000, and 750 000 patient-hours of participation,64 respectively. The low ratio of cardiac arrest to death is due to the availability of acute medical care.

Recommendations

The evidence summarized above and more extensively presented in the 1996 Surgeon General’s Report65 attests to the value of exercise and physical activity in reducing the incidence of coronary heart disease. Indeed, the original AHA Statement on Exercise in 199266 was among the first documents to conclude that physical inactivity is a major CAD risk factor. Because atherosclerotic vascular disease remains the major cause of death in many countries, it is important
that healthcare providers support the implementation and maintenance of exercise programs for their patients across the lifespan.

Health professionals should personally engage in an active lifestyle to familiarize themselves with the issues involved in maintaining lifelong physical activity and to set a positive example for patients and the public. This may increase the likelihood that healthcare providers will recommend physical activity to their patients. Healthcare providers should use their influence as parents and community members to encourage schools to provide physical education programs that teach the importance of, and the skills necessary for, developing and maintaining physically active lifestyles. There is growing recognition of the contribution of social support and the value of integrating behavioral changes into daily routines to sustain improvements in physical activity levels. For this reason, healthcare providers should advocate changes in organizational practices within work sites and civic and recreational settings that encourage active living. Health professionals should also encourage their communities to make facilities for physical activity available to the public and to engineer environments conducive to safe physical activity. Such environmental engineering efforts should allow purposeful physical activities, such as walking to work and climbing stairs, to be used in lieu of labor-saving devices. Health professionals should support public health efforts that encourage these active lifestyles.

The importance of physical activity for health and the use of exercise training in managing selected disease states should be incorporated into the education of physicians and other medical professionals. A physical activity history is an important component of the health history, and healthcare providers should include the patient’s habitual physical activity as part of the medical record. Healthcare providers should identify for patients the importance of physical activity as primary or adjunctive therapy for such medical conditions as hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia, glucose intolerance, and obesity.

Health professionals should prescribe physical activity programs commensurate with those recommended by the CDC and the ACSM, ie, 30 minutes or more of moderate-intensity physical activity such as brisk walking on most, and preferably all, days of the week. Patients should be encouraged to engage in various physical activities and to progressively increase their activity as tolerated. Detailed guidelines for prescribing exercise in patients with and without cardiovascular disease are provided in the AHA Exercise Standards for Testing and Training. Recommendations are also available for the incorporation of resistance and flexibility exercise training. Healthcare professionals should provide an exercise prescription to patients and should familiarize themselves with behavioral change material available from the Provider-Based Assessment and Counseling for Exercise Program (PACE) and the Activity Counseling Trial (ACT).

It is not necessary that all individuals beginning a moderate-intensity and moderately progressive exercise program undergo an exercise stress test, although this issue remains controversial. A Consensus Group from the AHA and the American College of Cardiology considered routine exercise stress testing before the initiation of a vigorous exercise program in healthy men >45 and women >55 years of age as a Class Ib Recommendation—ie, a condition in which the usefulness and efficacy is not well established. Selected exercise testing should be performed at the discretion of the physician before vigorous exercise in patients with known cardiovascular problems.

Healthcare providers caring for patients with diagnosed cardiovascular disease should support the development of exercise programs to manage these patients and make appropriate referrals for treatment. There are also effective strategies to promote active lifestyles for whole communities that complement those designed for individuals. The Task Force on Community Preventive Services has completed an evidence-based review of these community strategies. The 6 strategies deemed effective were: (1) large-scale, intense, highly visible, community-wide campaigns; (2) point-of-decision prompts that encourage people to use the stairs instead of elevators or escalators; (3) physical education programs in schools; (4) social support programs (such as buddy systems and walking groups); (5) individually adapted behavior change programs; and (6) enhanced access to places for physical activity. For example, 12 studies have studied increasing access to places for physical activity, with a median effect size of a 26% increase in persons exercising at least 3 days per week.

Areas for Future Investigation

Although there is sufficient evidence to encourage increased physical activity for the public and most patient groups, multiple aspects of physical activity and health warrant additional investigation. Foremost is research into behavioral strategies and techniques to increase physical activity levels among children and adolescents and to maintain increased activity over their lifespans. Similar information on factors influencing the adoption and maintenance of physical activity is required for adults. In particular, there is a need to focus on physical activity promotion efforts via organizational (eg, work sites and communities) and legislative policy changes, rather than just on the individual level. Additionally, the message that incremental change in physical activity behavior is important has to be emphasized so that patients do not see physical activity participation as an all-or-none behavior, like cigarette smoking. Much has been learned in the past decade about how to use behavioral interventions to help sedentary adults become more active in individual and small-group settings. There is a need to evaluate the efficacy of disseminating these interventions with modern technology, such as the Internet. Additional physiological and basic research is necessary to provide the scientific rationale supporting the importance of physical activity. Such research should address the mechanisms by which exercise reduces CAD risk as well as explore other medical conditions effectively managed by exercise training. The contribution of physical inactivity to the development of the present obesity epidemic warrants additional investigation, as does the role of physical activity in the treatment of obesity. It is important to develop information on the minimal and optimal amounts of exercise...
and physical activity required to produce specific beneficial outcomes and to understand individual differences that may augment or impede the beneficial effects of physical training. More attention also needs to be given to assessing the health benefits from physical activity when intensity is measured relative to the individual’s fitness and not as an absolute value. Additional studies are needed on the value of physical activity programs superimposed on contemporary therapy for CAD patients because most data forming our knowledge base were obtained more than a decade ago when CAD mortality rates were substantially higher than at present. Additional studies are also needed on the risks of exercise because the benefits of exercise for patients with diagnosed or occult CAD can be maximized only if the risks are as low as possible. Finally, cost-effectiveness studies are needed to evaluate the role of physical activity relative to other interventions on healthcare costs.

Summary of Key Points

1. Physical activity and exercise training have important roles in:
   A. Preventing atherosclerotic CAD;
   B. Managing selected CAD risk factors, including elevated triglyceride levels, low HDL-C, hypertension, glucose intolerance, hypertension, obesity, and possibly cigarette use;
   C. Treating patients with CAD, HF, and claudication.

2. Healthcare professionals should:
   A. Engage in an active lifestyle;
   B. Encourage schools to teach skills required for physically active lifestyles and communities to develop programs and facilities conducive to physical activity;
   C. Be educated about exercise as a therapeutic modality and the importance of lifelong physical activity in their patients;
   D. Routinely prescribe exercise and increased physical activity to their patients in accordance with recommendations provided by the CDC/ACSM8 and the AHA8;
   E. Perform exercise testing before vigorous exercise in selected patients with cardiovascular disease and other patients with symptoms or those at high risk.

3. Additional research should:
   A. Address behavioral strategies to increase and maintain physical activity over the lifespan;
   B. Increase the scientific rationale supporting the importance of physical activity by examining the amount of exercise required to alter CAD risk, the effect of exercise on morbidity and mortality, and its cost-effectiveness.
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