Oral Contraceptives Decrease Hepatic Cholesterol Independent of the LDL Receptor in Nonhuman Primates
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Pharmacological doses of estrogens have been reported to increase hepatic catabolism of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) by the LDL receptor (LDL-R) pathway and to increase the concentration of mRNA for the LDL receptor. The induction of LDL-Rs by large doses of estrogen may not be relevant to the role of estrogens under physiological conditions. Furthermore, the mechanisms by which oral contraceptives, a combination of synthetic estrogen and progestin, may modulate LDL metabolism remain largely unexplored. Adult female cynomolgus monkeys were given combination ethinyl estradiol/norgestrel preparations (n=16) for 16 weeks and were compared with a control group that did not receive exogenous sex hormones (n=7). All animals consumed a diet containing 0.25 mg cholesterol/kcal with 40% of calories from saturated fats. After 16 weeks of treatment there was no significant difference in LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) and hepatic LDL-R mRNA concentration between oral contraceptive-treated animals (LDL-C, 242±113 mg/dL; LDL-R mRNA, 0.60±0.31 pg/μg RNA) and control animals (LDL-C, 277±100 mg/dL; LDL-R mRNA, 0.51±0.21 pg/μg RNA). In contrast, the hepatic cholesteryl ester concentration was significantly lower in the oral contraceptive-treated animals (7.28±3.59 mg/g liver) compared with the control animals (16.07±11.86 mg/g liver; P=.01) with no significant difference in hepatic free cholesterol concentration between the groups. Thus, oral contraceptives decrease hepatic cholesterol concentration independent of LDL-R expression. These data support the hypothesis that the increase in LDL-R mRNA abundance and activity observed with pharmacological doses of estrogen may be secondary to depletion of hepatic cholesterol. (Arterioscler Thromb. 1993;13:1645-1649.)

KEY WORDS • oral contraceptives • LDL • LDL receptor • hepatic cholesterol • hepatic cholesteryl ester • estrogen

Estrogen may mediate the sex differential in longevity and atherosclerosis.™ Much of our understanding of how estrogen modulates lipoprotein metabolism is derived from studies in which pharmacological doses of estrogens are administered. Estrogens in pharmacological doses have been reported to increase catabolism of low-density lipoprotein (LDL), increase LDL receptor activity, and increase the concentration of mRNA for the LDL receptor.18 The induction of LDL receptor activity by pharmacological doses of estrogen may have little relevance to the role of estrogen under physiological conditions because other concomitant changes in cholesterol metabolism occur with the administration of pharmacological doses of estrogen that may secondarily give rise to the observed increase in LDL receptor activity.

Observations from cell culture studies provide evidence of the complex intracellular changes induced by estrogen. Estrogens at pharmacological concentrations increase binding and degradation of LDL by the LDL receptor-dependent pathway in a human hepatoma cell line (HepG2) grown in the absence of LDL.5 The increase in LDL receptor activity in HepG2 cells is accompanied by a decrease in the cell content of free cholesterol, suggesting that stimulation of LDL receptor activity by pharmacological doses of estrogen is secondary to depletion of the regulatory pool of cholesterol in the hepatocyte.

In contrast with studies of pharmacological doses of estrogen, in studies using physiological concentrations of estrogen, the extent that estrogen affects LDL receptor activity remains unclear. Furthermore, the mechanisms by which oral contraceptives, a combination of synthetic estrogen and progestin, may modulate LDL metabolism remain largely unexplored. Orally administered progestins increase plasma LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) concentration and may negate the beneficial effect of oral estrogen on LDL-C concentration. It is also known that estrogens6 and oral contraceptives7,8 increase biliary cholesterol secretion. This study was undertaken to examine the effect of oral contraceptives on potential regulatory pools of hepatic cholesterol and mRNA for the LDL receptor.

Methods

Study Design

This study was conducted in 24 adult, sexually intact, premenopausal female cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) imported from Indonesia (CV Primates,
Bogor, Indonesia). The animals were fed monkey chow (High Protein Monkey Chow, Ralston Purina Company, St Louis, Mo) during a 3-month quarantine and then were stratified into groups by their total plasma cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) concentration response to a 1-month challenge diet (0.25 mg cholesterol/kcal) to control for factors that determine individual variability in response to the atherogenic diet. The groups did not differ in their LDL-C response to the atherogenic diet. After the 1-month challenge period, the animals were fed monkey chow until they entered the experimental protocol. The groups were assigned randomly to receive an atherogenic diet with oral contraceptive mixed into the diet (n=16) or to receive an atherogenic diet without oral contraceptive (control group, n=8).

The study occurred over 16 weeks, during which all animals were fed the challenge diet (0.25 mg cholesterol/kcal). The control group received no exogenous hormone (n=8). The monkeys in the oral contraceptive-treated group received a monophasic oral contraceptive preparation (n=8) at a dose equivalent, by caloric intake, to 30 to 40 micrograms ethinyl estradiol and 50 to 125 micrograms norgestrel per day (Ovral, Wyeth Ayerst, Philadelphia, Pa) or a triphasic oral contraceptive preparation (n=8) at a dose equivalent, by caloric intake, to 30 to 40 micrograms ethinyl estradiol and 50 to 125 micrograms norgestrel per day (Triphasil, Wyeth Ayerst). As in women taking oral contraceptives, the estradiol and norgestrel were administered for 21 days; a placebo was administered to the monkeys for the remaining 7 days of a 28-day cycle. The dose of oral contraceptive was calculated on a caloric basis to approximate that of women consuming 1800 kcal and one oral contraceptive pill per day.

During week 15 of the experiment, LDL-C concentrations were determined. One week later, liver samples were collected at necropsy, immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen, and stored at -70°C for later measurement of mRNA and hepatic cholesterol concentration.10 Total plasma cholesterol and triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, HDL subfractions (HDL 2 and HDL 3), and apolipoprotein (apo) A-I concentrations were determined during the experimental period.10 The fractional catabolic rate of LDL apoB was determined during week 16 of the study.10 All procedures involving animals were conducted in compliance with state and federal laws, standards of the Department of Health and Human Services, and guidelines established by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Bowman Gray School of Medicine.

Lipoprotein Analysis

Blood samples were collected from the femoral vein of animals after sedation with ketamine hydrochloride (15 mg/kg, IM). Venous blood samples were collected into Vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson, Rutherford, NJ) containing sodium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (1.0 mg/mL blood) from the femoral vein of animals fasted overnight (18 hours). Blood was immediately centrifuged at 1500g for 30 minutes at 4°C, and plasma was separated. Cholesterol concentration was measured by autoanalyzer (Technicon, Tarrytown, NY) in a central laboratory in full standardization with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention—National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Standardization Program using the standard Lipid Research Clinics methodology.11 Cholesterol concentration was determined for whole plasma. HDL-C concentration was quantified after precipitation of the apoB-containing lipoproteins with heparin-manganese.12 LDL-C was calculated as total plasma cholesterol minus HDL-C.13 Very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol concentration is negligible in nonhuman primates on this diet. ApoB was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using purified monospecific goat antibodies.14

RNA Isolation and Quantification of mRNA

Total cellular RNA was purified from the liver tissue by using previously published procedures.15 Purified RNA was dissolved in diethyl pyrocarbonate–treated water, and the concentration was determined by the absorbance at 260 nm. The integrity of the purified RNA was determined by formaldehyde–agarose gel electrophoresis as previously described.16 Cellular LDL receptor and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase mRNA content was measured with a DNA-excess solution hybridization assay that employed a single-stranded DNA probe prepared from the human LDL receptor and HMG-CoA reductase cDNA.16

Hepatic Cholesterol Quantification

Lipids were extracted from a known mass of liver tissue with trichloromethane/methanol (2:1, vol/vol) according to the method of Folch et al.17 Total and esterified cholesterol mass were determined by gas-liquid chromatography according to the method of Ishikawa et al.18 Separations were carried out at 260°C with inlet and detector temperatures at 270°C using a Hewlett-Packard model 5890A gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector and a 10-m×0.53-mm HP-17 (50% phenylmethyl silicone) column. Peak areas were quantified against stigmastanol as an internal standard with a Hewlett-Packard 3394A integrator. Hepatic free cholesterol was calculated as total hepatic cholesterol minus hepatic cholesteryl ester.

Statistical Methods

All values in this report are the mean±SD for each group. The sample size used in this study (control group, n=7; treatment group, n=16) provided a power of 95% to detect a biologically significant difference (40%) in mRNA concentration for the LDL receptor between the two groups. The inclusion of different oral contraceptive preparations into a single group for analysis is a method that has been used previously,7,8,19 and in this case it has biological plausibility due to the small differences in the dose of estradiol and norgestrel between these two preparations.20,21 A preanalysis did not show a significant difference between the groups receiving the two oral contraceptive preparations. The t test was used to assess treatment differences in normally distributed variables with homogeneous variances. Variables with nonhomogeneous variances (hepatic total and esterified cholesterol concentrations) as determined by the variance ratio test were log transformed before application of the t test. All t tests were two tailed, with a=5% considered statistically significant.
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Table 1. Effect of Oral Contraceptives on LDL in
Monkeys on an Atherogenic Diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid</th>
<th>Control (n=7)</th>
<th>Oral Contraceptive (n=16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>277±100</td>
<td>242±113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB, mg/dL</td>
<td>192±49</td>
<td>221±75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB/LDL-C</td>
<td>0.78±0.32</td>
<td>1.00±0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDL indicates low-density lipoprotein; LDL-C, LDL cholesterol; and ApoB, apolipoprotein B. Data are mean±SD. No difference was found between control and oral contraceptive groups.

Results

Sixteen monkeys in the oral contraceptive–treated
group and seven monkeys in the control group completed
the study protocol and were included in the analysis. One
monkey in the control group died during the study period
of causes unrelated to the experiment and procedures.

The small difference in the dose of estradiol and norges-
trel between these two preparations should not produce

The administration of oral contraceptives did not alter
the total plasma cholesterol and triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, HDL subfractions (HDL₁ and HDL₂), and apoA-I concentrations nor the fractional catabolic rate of LDL apoB. After the monkeys had consumed the athero-
genic diet for 15 weeks there were no significant differ-
ences in LDL-C concentration, apoB concentration, or
apoB/LDL ratio between the control and the oral contra-
ceptive–treated groups (Table 1).

Medications other than exogenous sex hormones that
significantly increase LDL receptor abundance and activity in vivo produce at least an 80% increase in
mRNA concentration.22 The present study had a power
of 95% to detect an increase of at least one half this
magnitude (40%) in mRNA for the LDL receptor at
P<.05. After 16 weeks of the study there were no significant differences in concentrations of hepatic
mRNA for the LDL receptor or HMG-CoA reductase
between the control and the oral contraceptive–treated
groups (Table 2).

The administration of oral contraceptives had a sig-
ificant effect on hepatic cholesterol concentration
(Figure). The total hepatic cholesterol concentration at
11.98±4.92 mg/g liver in the oral contraceptive–treated
group was significantly lower than the 20.82±12.40 mg/g
liver in the control group (P<.03). The lower total
hepatic cholesterol concentration observed with oral
contraceptive administration was entirely accounted for
by lower hepatic cholesteryl ester concentration. The
hepatic cholesteryl ester concentration at 7.28±3.59
mg/g liver in the oral contraceptive–treated group was
significantly lower than the 16.07±11.86 mg/g liver in
the control group (P=.01). There was no significant
difference in hepatic free cholesterol concentration
between the control and the oral contraceptive–treated
groups (Table 2).

Discussion

Pharmacological doses of synthetic estrogens in men
during hormonal treatment of prostatic carcinoma (oral
ethinyl estradiol 1 mg/d) lower plasma cholesterol con-

Bar graph showing hepatic free cholesterol and hepatic
cholesteryl ester concentrations (mean±SD) in control
and oral contraceptive–treated monkeys. *P=.01.
genic index of bile. In addition, these preparations decrease chenodeoxycholic acid synthesis and pool size and the sex hormone concentration and pool size, but they do not alter the rate of phospholipid secretion.

We found that oral contraceptives decreased hepatic cholesteryl ester concentration, whereas hepatic free cholesterol concentration remained unaffected. Precursor cholesterol for both bile acid synthesis and biliary cholesterol secretion is derived from intracellular pools of free cholesterol in rapid equilibrium with cholesteryl ester. Hepatic cholesterol is derived from either newly synthesized cholesterol, the uptake of lipoproteins, or the hydrolysis of hepatic cholesteryl ester. Studies in which pharmacological doses of estrogen have increased LDL receptor activity suggest the hypothesis that increased uptake of lipoprotein cholesterol by the LDL receptor is the major determinant for increased cholesterol concentration in bile during estrogen treatment.

This study provided evidence that challenges that hypothesis. Oral contraceptives did not induce an increase of LDL receptor mRNA above endogenous sex hormones in nonhuman primates despite the induced decrease in hepatic cholesterol concentration.

A direct correlation of hepatic LDL receptor mRNA concentration to LDL receptor activity has been consistently observed by many investigators. LDL receptor mRNA concentration is highly correlated with plasma LDL-C concentrations and LDL receptor activity. In this group of nonhuman primates, there was no difference in hepatic LDL receptor mRNA abundance or total fractional catabolic rate of LDL between the control and oral contraceptive-treated groups. The total fractional catabolic rate of LDL includes both LDL receptor-dependent and LDL receptor-independent catabolism.

There remains considerable uncertainty regarding the mechanism and regulation of cholesterol secretion into the bile. Estrogen treatment alone and combined with progesterone increases 7α-hydroxylase activity in baboons compared with baboons treated with progesterone alone or with an untreated control group. In rats, pharmacological doses of progesterone increase biliary cholesterol secretion, decrease hepatic cholesteryl ester concentration, and inhibit acyl coenzyme A:cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT) activity with no effect on 7α-hydroxylase activity or HMG-CoA reductase activity. The relevance of the inhibition of ACAT activity by progesterone to human physiology is unclear because humans have lower levels of this enzyme than rats. Furthermore, precursor cholesterol for biliary cholesterol secretion is derived from intracellular pools, which differ between humans and rats.

In this study, there was no increase in HMG-CoA reductase mRNA in the oral contraceptive-treated monkeys, suggesting there was no increase in hepatic cholesterol production at this dose. Although we cannot exclude the possibility of posttranscriptional regulation of HMG-CoA reductase, the rate of hepatic cholesterol synthesis in rats does not determine the overall rate of biliary cholesterol secretion.

The effect of orally administered sex hormones on lipoprotein concentration and metabolism is mediated by the sex hormone concentration achieved in the portal circulation and by the first-pass effect on hepatic metabolism. Because the animals were not ovariectomized in this study, their lipoprotein concentrations would be affected by endogenous hormone production. All blood and liver tissue samples were collected from animals in the oral contraceptive-treated group at the same point in the treatment cycle, whereas blood and liver tissue samples were collected from animals in the control group at random points throughout the estrous cycle. This circumstance decreases the probability of detecting a difference between the control group and the oral contraceptive-treated group because some of the animals in the control group were presumably studied during a phase of high endogenous hormone production. Despite this, a clear difference between the control group and the oral contraceptive-treated group was found.

Both estrogen and oral contraceptives clearly increase biliary cholesterol secretion. The effect of estrogen on plasma cholesterol concentration, LDL receptor mRNA, and biliary secretion of cholesterol is dose dependent. We hypothesize that oral contraceptives induce an increase in biliary cholesterol secretion that results in depletion of hepatic cholesteryl ester. This suggests a mechanism for the dose-dependent increase in concentration of LDL receptor mRNA and LDL receptor activity observed with administration of pharmacological doses of estrogen. We hypothesize that as the dose or potency of oral estrogen is increased, biliary secretion of cholesterol will increase, which may cause further depletion of hepatic cholesterol pools and, in turn, increase LDL receptor mRNA abundance and LDL receptor activity.
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