Probucol Pretreatment Enhances the Chemotaxis of Mouse Peritoneal Macrophages
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To investigate the effects of probucol on macrophage chemotaxis, we preincubated mouse peritoneal macrophages with probucol for 20 hours in vitro and using a modified Boyden chamber system compared their chemotactic responses with those of control macrophages that were preincubated with vehicle. Probucol pretreatment enhanced the macrophage chemotactic responses to zymosan-activated serum, acetylated low density lipoprotein (LDL), and native LDL. Probucol pretreatment also enhanced the basal migration observed when there was no stimulant in the lower chamber of a modified Boyden chamber. The chemotactically enhancing potency of native LDL was weaker than that of zymosan-activated serum in control macrophages; however, both substances became equally potent when the macrophages were preincubated with probucol. The degree of the enhancement to native LDL after probucol preincubation reached fourfold to eightfold. The fashion of the enhanced migration of macrophages to native LDL after preincubation with probucol was predominantly chemotactic rather than chemokinetic. Time-course experiments revealed that it took more than 12 hours of probucol preincubation to show clearly enhanced macrophage chemotaxis to native LDL. Macrophages preincubated with probucol together with cycloheximide showed markedly reduced chemotaxis compared with macrophages preincubated only with probucol. Probucol pretreatment also enhanced macrophage chemotactic responses to high density lipoprotein, oxidized LDL, and lipoprotein-deficient serum. On the other hand, probucol pretreatment did not enhance macrophage chemotaxis to simple liposome, cholesterol, and the pure chemotactant C5a. Activated macrophages induced by the intraperitoneal injection of lipopolysaccharide showed an enhanced chemotactic response to C5a but not to native LDL. These results suggest that probucol pretreatment enhances macrophage chemotaxis, and its mechanism is different from that of activated macrophages. (Arteriosclerosis and Thrombosis 1992;12:593–600)
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The presence of foam cells has been recognized as a characteristic feature of xanthomas in skin and tendons and of atheromas. Many foam cells in these lesions preserve the characteristics of macrophages, and macrophages are supposed to be the progenitors of certain foam cells that are involved in atherogenesis. Recent extensive studies have revealed that the oxidative modification of low density lipoprotein (LDL) occurs in vivo and contributes to the deposition of cholesteryl ester into macrophages, subsequently becoming atherosclerosis. Kita et al and Carew et al demonstrated that probucol, a drug widely used for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia, prevented the progression of atherosclerosis in Watanabe heritable hyperlipidemic (WHHL) rabbits without a marked decrease of the plasma cholesterol concentration. The antiatherogenic mechanism of this drug is hypothesized to relate to its antioxidant action, preventing the oxidative modification of LDL.

This hypothesis is reasonable in the case of WHHL rabbits because their major cholesterol-carrying lipoprotein is LDL. However, recently probucol was shown to prevent the progression of atherosclerosis or aortic cholesterol accumulation in cholesterol-fed animals without a marked decrease of the plasma cholesterol concentration. From these data one can speculate that probucol should have other antiatherogenic mechanisms different from its antioxidant action because b-migrating very low density lipoprotein (B-VLDL), a major lipoprotein in cholesterol-fed animals, is atherogenic for macrophages without oxidative modification. However, another report demonstrated the lack of effect of probucol on atheroma formation in cholesterol-fed rabbits; therefore, the effects of probucol on cholesterol-fed animals is still controversial.

In a series of studies searching for the direct actions of probucol on macrophages, Nagano et al and Ku et al asked whether probucol alters the uptake of atherogenic lipoproteins. Those authors previously reported that probucol did not inhibit the uptake of atherogenic lipoproteins, including oxidized LDL and B-VLDL, in macrophages. More recently, O'Brien et al demonstrated...
strated that smooth muscle cells were predominant as the origin of foam cells in atherosclerotic lesions of probucol-treated WHHL rabbits; on the other hand, macrophages were predominant in control (untreated) WHHL rabbits, suggesting that the antiatherogenic effects of probucol might relate to a decrease of the macrophage content in lesion areas.

Therefore, we questioned whether probucol affects macrophage moving activity as another possible direct effect on macrophages. We investigated the effects of probucol on the chemotaxis of mouse peritoneal macrophages in vitro using a modified Boyden chamber system.

**Methods**

**Materials**

Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) were purchased from Nissui Pharmaceutical Co., Tokyo, Japan. l-Glutamine and streptomyacin-penicillin solutions were from Flow Laboratories, North Ryde, Australia. Fetal calf serum (FCS), obtained from Hyclone Laboratories, Inc., Logan, Utah, was inactivated at 56°C for 30 minutes before use. Plastic tubes and Petri dishes were purchased from Falcon, Oxford, Calif. Zymosan A, cycloheximide, human recombinant complement C5a, and phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) were from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo. Lipopoly saccharide W Escherichia coli 055:B5 (LPS) was obtained from DIFCO Laboratories, Detroit, Mich. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was from Armour Pharmaceutical, Kankakee, Ill. HCO-50 (castor oil) was from Nikko Chemicals, Tokyo, Japan. Probucol [4,4’-(isopropylidenedithio)-£>/s(2,6-di-<-

**Lipoproteins**

Human LDL (d = 1.019–1.063 g/ml), high density lipoprotein (HDL3) (d = 1.085–1.125 g/ml), HDL2 (d = 1.125–1.21 g/ml), and lipoprotein-deficient serum (LPDS, d = 1.215 g/ml) were prepared from the plasma of healthy human subjects and were isolated by differential ultracentrifugation.17 Acetylated LDL was prepared by the repeated addition of acetic anhydride to LDL as described.18 For the preparation of oxidized LDL, 5.0 mg protein of LDL was suspended in 2 ml PBS with Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ [PBS(+] containing 5 μM CuSO₄, and was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.19 After modification, each lipoprotein was dialyzed against PBS without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ [PBS(−)] containing 0.24 mM EDTA.

**Macrophages**

Peritoneal macrophages were harvested from unstimulated female DDY mice weighing 25–30 g obtained from Shimizu Laboratories, Kyoto, Japan, in PBS(+) as described.20,21 To obtain activated macrophages, the mice were injected with 30 μg LPS intraperitoneally 4 days before the harvest.22 The peritoneal flushate was collected by centrifugation at 400g at 4°C for 10 minutes. After washing once with PBS(+), the cells were suspended in the culture medium (DMEM containing 10% FCS by volume, 100 unit/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin) at a density of 3x10⁶ cell/ml. Aliquots (8 ml) of this suspension were dispersed onto plastic Petri dishes (100×20 mm), and the cells were cultured in humidified air containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 2 hours. Then the cells were washed twice with 8 ml DMEM without serum to remove nonadherent cells, and further incubation (preincubation) was performed in DMEM containing 10% FCS with several experimental conditions.

After preincubation, the cells were washed three times with 8 ml PBS(−) and then detached by incubation with 8 ml PBS(−) containing 5% FCS and 0.2% EDTA at 4°C for 30 minutes with some flushing.23 Detached cells were washed with 20 ml PBS(+) three times at 4°C and used for the chemotaxis assay after being resuspended in HBSS containing 0.2% BSA (HBSS-BSA) at a concentration of 2.0x10⁶ viable cells/ml. Cell viability was determined by trypsin blue exclusion, and more than 90% of the cells were viable in all experimental conditions. After these procedures, almost all cells were able to attach to the plastic dish.

**Chemotaxis Assay**

The chemotaxis assay was performed in a 48-well modified Boyden micro chemotaxis chamber (Neuro Probe, Cabin John, Md.) using a polyvinylpyrrolidone-free polycarbonate membrane filter with 5-μm pores (Nucleopore Corp., Pleasanton, Calif.) as described.24 The lower wells were filled with 2 ml HBSS-BSA or HBSS-BSA containing the agent to be assayed. The upper wells were filled with 50 μl of the macrophage suspension (1.0x10⁶ cells/well) prepared previously. Assays were run in duplicate or triplicate wells. After incubation in humidified air containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 2 hours (except for incubation time-course experiments), the membrane filter was removed and the nonmigrated cells were scraped from its upper side. The filter was fixed with methanol, stained with Diff-Quick (Green-cross, Osaka, Japan), and then mounted on a glass slide. Migrated cells were counted with a ×40 objective and a ×10 ocular containing a 10-mm² counting grid. Five grid areas were counted per well and the values were summed.

We used 1% zymosan-activated serum (ZAS) in the lower chamber as the positive control. The ZAS was prepared by incubating 1 mg/ml zymosan A in rat serum for 1 hour at 37°C, and the supernatant obtained after centrifugation at 17,600g was used.25
FIGURE 1. Bar graph showing effects of preincubation with probucol on mouse peritoneal macrophage chemotaxis to native low density lipoprotein (LDL), acetylated LDL, and zymosan-activated rat serum (ZAS). Macrophages were preincubated with vehicle (open bars), 30 μM probucol (hatched bars), or 60 μM probucol (filled bars) for 20 hours and detached as described in "Methods." Upper well received macrophages ($1.0 \times 10^5$ cells/well), and lower well received 100 μg/ml native LDL, 100 μg/ml acetylated LDL, 1% ZAS, or Hank's balanced salt solution containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin (none). Chemotactic responses were assayed after 2 hours of incubation. Data represent mean±SD of six samples from two separate assays.

Other

The protein content of the lipoproteins was determined by the method of Lowry et al. Chemotaxis data are presented as mean±SD.

Results

We measured macrophage chemotaxis after preincubation with or without probucol using native LDL, acetylated LDL, and ZAS as chemoattractants. Control macrophages, which were cultured with vehicle for 20 hours, showed a clear chemotactic response to 1% ZAS (Figure 1). Both acetylated LDL and native LDL attracted control macrophages, but the chemotactic activity of native LDL was weak compared with ZAS (Figure 1). Twenty hours' preincubation with probucol enhanced macrophage chemotactic responses to all three substances in a dose-dependent manner, and the basal migration observed when there was no stimulant in the lower chamber was also enhanced (Figure 1). Probucol itself at 30 and 60 μM failed to be a chemoattractant for control and probucol-pretreated macrophages, and the coexistence of 60 μM probucol in the chemotaxis assay did not alter the control macrophage chemotaxis to native LDL and ZAS (data not shown). The chemotactic responses of probucol-pretreated macrophages to native LDL, acetylated LDL, and ZAS were not largely different (Figure 1).

Using native LDL as a chemoattractant best demonstrated the enhancement of the chemotactic potency by probucol. Therefore, we next characterized the chemotactic activity of native LDL for probucol-pretreated macrophages. Migration to native LDL was time dependent and reached a maximum at 2–5 hours' incubation in both control and probucol-pretreated macrophages; at all times tested, marked enhancement by probucol pretreatment was observed (Figure 2). Maximal migration to ZAS was observed at around 3 hours' incubation in both control and probucol-pretreated macrophages, and probucol pretreatment enhanced macrophage migration at all times tested (data not shown). Native LDL was chemotactic for probucol-pretreated macrophages in a dose-dependent manner, and at all doses tested marked enhancement by probucol pretreatment was observed (Figure 3). To determine whether the enhanced macrophage migration activity to native LDL is directed cell movement, a modified checkerboard assay was performed. Figure 4 shows that...
native LDL has a primarily chemotactic with some chemokinetic activity for probucol-pretreated macrophages, and the migration of control macrophages to native LDL was also chemotactic. Time-course experiments revealed that it took more than 12 hours of probucol preincubation to show clearly enhanced macrophage chemotaxis (Figure 5).

Figure 6 shows the effect of cycloheximide on the chemotaxis enhanced by probucol pretreatment. Twenty hours' preincubation with cycloheximide did not show a marked effect on control macrophages' chemotactic responses. However, macrophages preincubated with probucol together with cycloheximide showed markedly reduced chemotactic responses to all chemoattractants compared with macrophages preincubated only with probucol, suggesting the involvement of protein synthesis by macrophages in this enhanced chemotactic response (Figure 6). Viability of cycloheximide-pretreated macrophages was not altered, as described in "Methods." The enhanced basal migration activity of probucol-pretreated macrophages was also reduced by cycloheximide.

Because pretreatment with probucol enhanced macrophage chemotaxis to both native and acetylated LDL, we tested other lipoproteins. When we used HDL₂, HDL₅, and even oxidized LDL as chemoattractants, enhanced macrophage chemotaxis was observed (Figure 7A). However, neither 90 μg/ml simple liposome made from dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine nor 50 μg/ml cholesterol were potent chemoattractants in this particular experiment (data not shown). Probucol-pretreated macrophages also showed enhanced chemotactic responses to LPDS (Figure 7B).

Because ZAS contains lipoproteins and other serum factors, the enhanced chemotactic responses of probucol-pretreated macrophages to ZAS may depend on these lipoproteins or other serum factors. Because the major chemotactic factor in ZAS is known as complement fragment C₅a, we tested the effect of human recombinant C₅a. Pretreatment with probucol did not further enhance macrophage chemotaxis to C₅a (Figure 8A). Time-course experiments revealed that maximal migration to C₅a was observed at 2–5 hours' incubation in both control and probucol-pretreated macrophages, and no enhancement by probucol pretreatment was
FIGURE 7. Bar graphs showing effects of preincubation with probucol on mouse peritoneal macrophage chemotaxis to high density lipoprotein (HDL), HDL, oxidized low density lipoprotein (LDL), and lipoprotein-deficient serum (LPDS). Macrophages were preincubated with vehicle (open bars) or 60 μM probucol (filled bars) for 20 hours and detached as described in “Methods.” Upper well received macrophages (1.0×10^5 cells/well), and lower well received 100 μg/ml of native LDL, HDL, HDL, oxidized LDL, or Hanks’ balanced salt solution containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin (none) in panel A, 100 μg/ml native LDL, 100 μg/ml LPDS, 500 μg/ml LPDS, or none in panel B. Chemotactic responses were assayed after 2 hours of incubation. Data represent mean±SD of six samples from two separate assays.

FIGURE 8. Bar graph showing effects of preincubation with probucol on mouse peritoneal macrophage chemotaxis to human recombinant C5a and rat serum (RS). Macrophages were preincubated with vehicle (open bars) or 60 μM probucol (filled bars) for 20 hours and detached as described in “Methods.” Upper well received macrophages (1.0×10^5 cells/well), and lower well received 100 μg/ml native low density lipoprotein (LDL), 100 ng/ml human recombinant C5a, or Hanks’ balanced salt solution containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin (none) in panel A, 1% RS without activation by zymosan or none in panel B. Chemotactic responses were assayed after 2 hours of incubation. Data represent mean±SD of six samples from two separate assays.

observed at any time tested (data not shown). In addition, probucol pretreatment markedly enhanced macrophage chemotaxis to 1% rat serum without activation by zymosan (Figure 8, B), suggesting that serum factors other than C5a were related to the enhanced chemotactic response to ZAS. The other macrophage chemotactic factors, formyl-Met-Leu-Phe (10^-8 to 10^-4 M), leukotriene B, (10^-9 to 10^-7 M), and PMA (4×10^-9 to 10^-7 M) were also tested. These chemoattractants had no or weak unstable chemotactic activities for control macrophages in our experimental conditions, and probucol pretreatment did not enhance macrophage chemotaxis to these agents (data not shown).

Chemotaxis of activated macrophages induced by the peritoneal injection of Bacille biile’ de Calmette-Guérin (BCG), LPS, and other macrophage-activating agents was reportedly increased compared with resident macrophages. When chemotaxis of probucol-pretreated macrophages was compared with that of LPS-induced activated macrophages, activated macrophages showed enhanced basal migration and enhanced chemotactic responses to C5a but not to native LDL (Figure 9).

Discussion

We investigated the effects of probucol on the chemotactic responses of mouse peritoneal macrophages. The chemotactic responses of mouse peritoneal macrophages preincubated with probucol were compared with those of control macrophages preincubated with vehicle. First, we used 1% ZAS as a positive control chemoattractant. Although acetylated LDL but not native LDL was reported to be a chemoattractant for macrophages, we tested both. Control macrophages were clearly chemotactic to ZAS, and they were also chemotactic to both acetylated and native LDL; how-
ever, the chemoattracting potency of native LDL was weak compared with ZAS (Figure 1). In our experiments, native LDL attracted control macrophages, in contrast to a former report by Quinn et al.25 Twenty hours' preincubation with 60 μM probucol markedly enhanced macrophage chemotaxis to ZAS and acetylated and native LDL, and basal migration was also enhanced. Because 30 μM probucol was clearly less effective than 60 μM, this enhancement by probucol was dose dependent (Figure 1). A probucol concentration of 60 μM is close to the plasma level both in patients who receive long-term treatment with probucol with a standard administration schedule32-34 and in experimental animals that are treated with a 1% probucol diet, different from that of LPS-induced activated macrophages.

Because the chemotaxing potency of native LDL was weak in control macrophages, the enhancement of its potency by probucol pretreatment was most evident. This enhanced migration to native LDL is primarily chemotaxis rather than chemokinaxis (Figure 4). Chemotaxis of probucol-pretreated macrophages to native and acetylated LDL and ZAS were not largely different (Figure 1). Because the chemoattracting potency of native LDL was weak in control macrophages, the enhancement of its potency by probucol pretreatment was most evident. This enhanced migration to native LDL is primarily chemotaxis rather than chemokinaxis (Figure 4). Chemotaxis of probucol-pretreated macrophages to native and acetylated LDL and ZAS were not largely different (Figure 1). Because the chemoattracting potency of native LDL was weak in control macrophages, the enhancement of its potency by probucol pretreatment was most evident. This enhanced migration to native LDL is primarily chemotaxis rather than chemokinaxis (Figure 4).

Chemotaxis of probucol-pretreated macrophages to native and acetylated LDL and ZAS were all enhanced. Judging from the data, it seems that the enhancement by probucol pretreatment is nonspecific. Therefore, we tested other materials as chemoattractants and showed that probucol pretreatment enhanced macrophage chemotaxis to other lipoproteins such as HDL2, HDL3, oxidized LDL, and even LPDS (Figure 7). On the other hand, probucol pretreatment did not enhance macrophage chemotaxis to simple liposome, cholesterol (data not shown), and the pure chemoattractant C5a (Figure 8A). From these observations, we now consider that probucol pretreatment enhances macrophage chemotaxis to factors in plasma including lipoproteins. However, the mechanism by which probucol-pretreated macrophages sense these factors is unknown. At least the participation of low-molecular-weight substances can be excluded because we dialyzed the lipoproteins and LPDS when preparing them.

According to time-course experiments of probucol preincubation, it took more than 12 hours to show clearly enhanced macrophage chemotaxis (Figure 5). Cycloheximide, an inhibitor of protein synthesis, inhibits the enhancement of macrophage chemotaxis induced by probucol pretreatment (Figure 6). These results suggest that protein synthesis in macrophages is involved in the chemotaxis enhanced by probucol pretreatment. Although it is not known what kind of protein synthesis is involved, one possibility is that probucol induces the synthesis of cell surface proteins that sense some particular chemoattractants because not all materials have increased chemotactic activity for probucol-pretreated macrophages. Another possibility is that some protein induced by probucol can upregulate macrophage-mobilizing activity itself because enhanced basal migration of probucol-pretreated macrophages was also suppressed by cycloheximide pretreatment.

It has not previously been reported that some drugs induce enhanced macrophage chemotaxis to the factors in plasma, as observed in our study. However, Meltzer et al.31 reported that activated macrophages induced by the peritoneal injection of BCG, LPS, and other macrophage-activating agents had increased chemotactic activities to endotoxin-activated mouse serum and lymphocyte-derived chemotactic factor(s). We compared the chemotaxis of probucol-pretreated macrophages with that of LPS-induced activated macrophages. Basal migration and the chemotactic response to C5a in activated macrophages were enhanced compared with control macrophages. However, activated macrophages did not show an enhanced chemotactic response to native LDL (Figure 9). This result clearly demonstrates that the chemotaxis of probucol-pretreated macrophages is different from that of LPS-induced activated macrophages. Further study is needed to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the alteration of macrophage chemotaxis by probucol pretreatment.

The chemotaxis of macrophages is considered as an inflammatory response, migrating toward inflammatory mediators.32 We clearly show that preincubation of macrophages with probucol enhances their chemotactic response. However, we do not think this alteration of macrophages by probucol pretreatment is an inflammatory change because of the following evidence. First, probucol pretreatment did not enhance the macrophage chemotactic response to C5a, a typical inflammatory mediator. Second, LPS-induced activated macrophages, typical inflammatory cells, did not show the enhanced chemotaxis to native LDL.

In relation to atherosclerosis, the chemotaxis of monocytes, a precursor of macrophages, is considered as the initial step for developing atherosclerosis followed by maturation to macrophages in the subendothelial space and foam cell formation.35 However, the...
chemotaxis of these macrophages matured from monocytes is not well understood in relation to atherogenesis. According to pathological investigations, macrophage-derived foam cells in the subendothelial space could egress toward the bloodstream in several animal models for atherosclerosis.37,38 Our experimental data show that probucol-pretreated macrophages strongly migrate to several plasma factors. Thus, we speculate that probucol can stimulate macrophage egress toward the blood stream, and our speculation can explain the observation by O'Brien et al 16 that the atherosclerotic lesions of probucol-treated WHHL rabbits were poor in macrophage-derived foam cells. This unique action of probucol to stimulate macrophage chemotaxis may be a novel mechanism of this drug in preventing the development of or stimulating the regression of atherosclerosis.
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