Does the EcoRI Polymorphism in the Human Apolipoprotein B Gene Affect the Binding of Low Density Lipoprotein to the Low Density Lipoprotein Receptor?

J.J. Gallagher and N.B. Myant

In human populations, there is an association between coronary artery disease and a polymorphism in the apolipoprotein B (apo B) gene detected with the enzyme EcoRI. This polymorphism gives rise to two codominant apo B alleles, one (E⁺) encoding glutamic acid and the other (E⁻) encoding lysine at position 4,154 in apo B-100, the protein of low density lipoprotein (LDL). We have tested the hypothesis that this amino acid substitution indirectly influences proneness to coronary artery disease by affecting the binding of LDL to LDL receptors. The receptor-binding affinities of LDLs from eight pairs of subjects with genotypes E⁺/⁺ and E⁻/⁻ who were matched for other apo B genotypes were determined in vitro. There was no significant difference between the binding affinities of LDLs from the two groups of subjects. Our results strongly suggest that the amino acid at position 4,154 does not influence the function of the receptor-binding domain in apo B-100 and that the association between coronary artery disease and the EcoRI polymorphism is not mediated by an effect of the polymorphism on serum LDL concentration. In view of our findings, it would be of interest to examine the effect of the amino acid substitution on the binding of LDL to arterial proteoglycans and on the oxidizability of LDL by cells in culture. (Arteriosclerosis and Thrombosis 1992;12:256-260)
Methods

Subjects

To increase our chances of detecting a small effect of the EcoRI polymorphism on receptor binding of LDL, the E"/" and E"/" subjects were matched pairwise for sex, as far as possible for age, and for polymorphisms in the apo B gene known to be associated with CAD or plasma lipid concentration (those detected with the enzymes Pvu II,4 Xba I,8 and Msp I9) (see Table 1 legend for genotypes). To ensure that any differences observed between the behavior of LDLS from the two groups of subjects were not attributable to differences in lipid composition of the LDLs, the subjects were matched for serum lipid concentrations. In addition, the apo B (arginine3504-glutamine) mutation present in familial defective apolipoprotein B-100 (FDB) was excluded in all subjects. Despite these restraints, we were able to assemble eight pairs of subjects. The 16 individuals who met the aforementioned criteria were identified by screening a total of 521 people, including 174 patients from the Hammersmith Hospital Cardiac Clinic, 264 patients from the Hammersmith Hospital Lipid Clinic, 36 unaffected relatives and spouses of index patients with FDB, and 47 healthy volunteers from other sources. None of the 16 subjects selected had been diagnosed as having familial hypercholesterolemia or any other disorder known to influence LDL metabolism, and none was taking drugs known to affect plasma lipoprotein concentrations. Five had a history of myocardial infarction, two of whom were E"/" and three of whom were E"/" (see Table 1). The mean ages, serum LDL cholesterol concentrations, and serum triglyceride concentrations did not differ significantly between the E"/" and E"/" groups of subjects.

Analysis of DNA and Plasma Lipids

DNA was prepared from whole blood by the method of Kunkel et al.10 Genotypes were determined as previously described.11 Serum lipid and lipoprotein concentrations were determined in blood samples taken after an overnight fast, as described elsewhere.11

Low Density Lipoprotein Binding

LDL (d=1.019–1.063 g/ml), prepared by sequential ultracentrifugation, was labeled with ¹²⁵I as described.11 The specific radioactivity of the stock solution used for each experiment was adjusted to =100 cpn/ng by addition of unlabeled LDL. The binding of LDL to LDL receptors on normal human skin fibroblasts at 4°C was determined by two methods. Direct binding was assayed by the method of Goldstein et al.12 Dissociation constants (K_a) were calculated from the binding curves by Scatchard analysis. The ability of the test sample of LDL to compete with a standard sample of radioactive LDL for binding to LDL receptors on fibroblasts was determined by a competitive-binding assay.13

Experimental Design

The same normal cell line was used for testing all LDL samples. However, because of the time taken to
collect blood samples from subjects from different parts of England, all 16 samples of LDL could not be tested in the fresh state on cells grown to optimal density on the same day. In each of four separate experiments, direct and competitive-binding assays were performed on samples from two pairs of matched donors on the same day. For each experiment, cells stored in liquid nitrogen were thawed, transferred to tissue-culture flasks in 15 ml of growth medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, and incubated at 37°C. When the cells had grown to confluence, the medium was removed and the cells were trypsinized and suspended in growth medium containing 10% fetal calf serum. One milliliter of the cell suspension containing 1 x 10^4 cells was added to each 35-mm well, and the wells were incubated at 37°C for 5 days. On day 5, the medium was changed to one in which the calf serum was replaced by 5% lipoprotein-deficient human serum, and the incubation was continued for 48 hours. All assays were performed on day 7. The blood samples used for a single experiment were taken over a period of not more than 3 days, and the interval between experiments was in no case longer than 3 weeks.

**Analysis of Results**

A two-way analysis of variance was used to test for significance of the differences between mean reciprocal \( K_d \) values and mean LDL concentrations giving 50% competition estimated for the two genotypes (E\(^{-+}\) and E\(^{-/-}\)). Differences were tested for significance by determining the \( F \) ratios. In the competitive-binding studies, the amounts of radioactive LDL bound to the cells (nanograms per milligram of cell protein) as a function of the concentration of the test sample of LDL (micrograms of protein per milliliter) were fitted to the equation

\[
y = Ae^{-kx} + C
\]

where \( y \) is the amount of cell-bound radioactive LDL and \( x \) is the LDL concentration in the medium), by the method of nonlinear least squares, and the values of \( A \), \( k \), and \( C \) were determined by use of a **EUREKA program**.\(^{14} \) The value of \( x \) for \( y \) = 50% (the concentration of the test sample required to displace 50% of the bound radioactive LDL) was calculated from the equation

\[
x = \frac{1}{k} \left( \ln \frac{50-C}{A} \right)
\]

**Results**

Figure 1 shows the direct-binding and competition curves obtained from the two pairs of E\(^{-+}\) and E\(^{-/-}\) LDL samples used for the same experiment. \( K_d \) values were derived from the direct-binding curves by Scatchard analysis. Tables 1 and 2 show the results of

---

**Table 1. Low Density Lipoprotein–Receptor Binding of Low Density Lipoprotein Containing EcoRI\(^{-/-}\) or EcoRI\(^{-/+}\) Apolipoprotein B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>LDL-C (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Triglyceride (mmol/l)</th>
<th>EcoRI genotype</th>
<th>1/K(_d)</th>
<th>Concentration at 50% competition (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11*</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13*</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14*</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High-affinity binding of radiolabeled low density lipoprotein (LDL) to skin fibroblasts at 4°C in vitro was measured in duplicate at concentrations of 0–20 µg LDL protein/ml medium. Values for \( K_d \) were calculated by Scatchard analysis of the binding curves. For statistical analysis, \( K_d \) values were normalized by taking their reciprocals. For competitive-binding assays at 4°C, the unlabelled test sample of LDL was added to the incubation wells in duplicate at increasing concentrations (0–20 µg LDL protein/ml medium) together with a fixed amount of a standard sample of radiolabeled E\(^{-/-}\) LDL (3 µg LDL protein/ml medium). The concentration of unlabelled LDL required to reduce the amount of cell-bound radiolabelled LDL to 50% of the baseline value was calculated as described in **"Methods."** Subjects 2 and 4 were female. All others were male. All subjects had genotypes *Pvu II*\(^{-/-}\), *Xba I*\(^{-/-}\), or *Msp I*\(^{-/-}\).
polymorphism could not be demonstrated in EcoBl with the finding that the concentration required for 50% competition was lower with E+/− LDL than with E−/− LDL (although not significantly so), suggests that the presence of a Lys residue at position 4,154 does not alter the function of the LDL-receptor-binding domain of apo B-100. In support of this, an association between plasma cholesterol concentration and the LDL-binding studies of the eight pairs of samples. The mean values for \( K_d \) (normalized by conversion to their reciprocals) and LDL concentration required for 50% competition did not differ significantly between genotypes. However, the mean values for \( K_d \) differed significantly between experiments (\( p<0.01 \)), a difference that is explicable, at least in part, by variations in the behavior of different batches of cells from the same cell line.\(^{12}\) Neither the age of the donor nor the serum LDL concentration was correlated significantly with \( 1/K_d \) or LDL concentration required for 50% competition.

### Discussion

Human apo B-100 is thought to contain a single LDL-receptor-binding domain that includes amino acid sequences extending from residue 3,147 to residue 3,500 (see Scott\(^{15}\)). Hence, it might be expected that a charge alteration of two units at position 4,154 would affect the receptor-binding properties of LDL. Our findings provide no evidence for this possibility. However, an association between CAD and the E− allele has been demonstrated only by comparing large numbers of unrelated individuals with and without CAD.\(^{4-6}\) Hence, if the association in the population is caused by a difference in the binding properties of LDL particles with E− and those with E+/− apo B-100, this difference may be so small that it cannot be demonstrated in a study of eight pairs of subjects, however carefully they are matched. This explanation of our negative findings seems unlikely. Statistical analysis of our observations, using the error variance derived from a two-way analysis of variance, shows that the method used for estimating \( K_d \) would have revealed a minimum difference of 17% between genotypes with 90% confidence. This result, combined with the finding that the concentration required for 50% competition was lower with E−/− LDL than with E+/− LDL (although not significantly so), suggests that the EcoRI polymorphism could not be demonstrated in two populations, one in Boston\(^5\) and the other in London.\(^4\)

A possible explanation for the association in the population between CAD and the EcoRI polymorphism is that the polymorphism affects the susceptibility of LDL to modification by peroxidation in the vicinity of the arterial wall. Biologically oxidized LDL particles are taken up by macrophages, resulting in the formation of the foam cells that are essential components of atherosclerotic lesions (see Reference 16). The mechanism by which oxidation converts LDL into a potentially atherogenic lipoprotein appears to be the formation of decomposition products of lipoprotein fatty acids, followed by covalent linkage of these products to the ε-amino groups of Lys residues in apo B.\(^{17}\) LDL particles in which apo B has been modified in this way are ligands for acetylated-LDL receptors on macrophages. It is conceivable that the substitution of Lys for Glu at a specific position in the apo B molecule favors the formation of oxidized species of LDL in vivo.

It is also possible that E+/− LDL and E− LDL particles have different affinities for extracellular proteoglycans in the arterial wall. Camejo et al\(^{18}\) have shown that LDL binds to chondroitin sulfate-rich proteoglycans extracted from the human aorta and that binding is mediated by interaction of basic residues in apo B with acidic groups in the polysaccharide moiety of the proteoglycan. Moreover, Camejo et al\(^{18}\) in a competitive-binding study with synthetic apo B peptides, have shown that a peptide comprising residues 4,230–4,254 associates strongly with aortic proteoglycan in vitro. This suggests that it would be worthwhile to examine the effect of Lys residue at position 4,154 in apo B on the affinity of LDL for aortic proteoglycans. Finally, it is possible that the EcoRI site is in linkage disequilibrium with another polymorphic site, in or near the apo B gene, that influences proneness to CAD.
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### Table 2. Analysis of Variance of Low Density Lipoprotein Binding to Fibroblasts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Between experiments</th>
<th>Between genotypes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 1/K_d )</td>
<td>7.2 ((p&lt;0.01))</td>
<td>2.57 ((p&gt;0.1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Competition</td>
<td>0.9 ((p&gt;0.1))</td>
<td>1.8 ((p&gt;0.1))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1/\( K_d \), reciprocal of the dissociation constant of low density lipoprotein (LDL) binding to LDL receptors; 50% competition, the concentration of LDL required to reduce the amount of cell-bound radioactive LDL to 50% of the baseline value.
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